



MONITORING STREAM FISH POPULATION IN RELATION TO NEWLY CONSTRUCTED WEIRS IN CENTRAL WESTERN GHATS, INDIA



Principal Investigator: Dr. Vidyadhar Atkore

Co- Principal Investigator: Dr. Pritham S

Project Associate - I: Mr. Suraj N

Progress Report - 2025-2026



AUTHOR DETAILS

Dr. Vidyadhar Atkore – Principal Investigator,
Scientist and Head, Landscape Ecology Division,
Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON),
Anaikatty, Coimbatore – 641108
Email: vidyadhar.sacon@wiii.gov.in;
freshwater.biologist@gmail.com
Office No. 0422 2203134
Contact No.: + 91 9482879713

Dr. Pritham S. – Co-Principal Investigator
Research Associate (Zoology),
Karnataka Biodiversity Board, Bangalore.
Email: tezooology.kbb@gmail.com
Contact: + 91 9916446267

Suraj N. – Project Associate-I
Landscape Ecology Division,
Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON),
Anaikatty, Coimbatore – 641108
Email: surajnahesh1227@gmail.com
surajprimates@gmail.com

Jayanth K. H.
Kadagaravalli, Sakleshpura, Karnataka
Contact No: + 91 94488 13372

Suggested citation:

Atkore, V., Suraj, N., Pritham, S., and Jayanth K. H. (2026) Monitoring stream fish population in relation to newly constructed weirs in Central Western Ghats, Progress Report. Pages 50.

Contents

Acknowledgements	VI
Summary.....	VIII
Introduction.....	1
Objectives.....	2
Study Area	3
Methodology	5
Habitat Assessment	6
Statistical Analysis	6
Species Diversity	6
Catch per unit effort (CPUE)	6
Differences in Fish Richness and Count Across Sites.....	7
Differences in fish species richness and count in relation to habitat.....	7
Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	8
NMDS Ordination.....	8
LULC	8
Guild Composition	9
Results	9
Fish diversity.....	11
Catch per unit efforts.....	14
Fish richness and count across study area.....	15
Fish richness and count in relation to habitat types	15
Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	17
Fish Community Composition.....	17
The land use and land cover (LULC) of the study area (2025).....	18
Guild Composition.....	19
Key Recommendations	21
Way Forward.....	23
Ethical Statement	23
References	24
APPENDIX.....	30

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Details of weirs constructed in Sakaleshpur taluk, Hassan district, Karnataka.....	4
Table 2. List of freshwater fish species sampled in the study area.	10
Table 3. The asymptotic diversity estimates across site types using iNEXT software. Bootstrap CI based on 200 iterations.	13
Table 4. Summary statistics by habitat type	16
Table 5. Dunn’s post-hoc comparisons.....	16
Table 6. Details of LULC (2025) proportions of the study area.	19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Study area map of the Nethravathi River Basin showing the catchment boundary, drainage network, and control, upstream, and downstream sampling sites.....	4
Figure 2. Fish diversity using iNEXT showing sample coverage curve.....	12
Figure 3. Rarefaction and extrapolation curves showing species richness, Shannon, and Simpson diversity across control, upstream, and downstream sites.	13
Figure 4. Boxplot of catch per unit effort (CPUE, fish h ⁻¹) across upstream, downstream, and control sites, showing median, interquartile range, whiskers, and observation.....	14
Figure 5. Boxplots of fish species richness and abundance across control, upstream, and downstream sites, with Kruskal–Wallis test results indicating differences among site types .	15
Figure 6. Species richness and fish count across run, riffle, pool, and cascade habitats at control, upstream, and downstream sites, showing median, interquartile range, and observations.	16
Figure 7. The Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the sampling sites.....	17
Figure 8. An envfit biplot, showing significant habitat vectors ($p < 0.05$) overlaid as arrows — elevation ($r^2 = 0.487$) and cascade ($r^2 = 0.270$) were the dominant drivers of assemblage separation.	18
Figure 9. Land use and land cover (2025) of the study area showing weir upstream, downstream, and control sampling sites.	19
Figure 10. Pie-chart representing species composition based on guild.	20

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the Director, Dean, Registrar, Head of Office, and Research Coordinator of the Wildlife Institute of India–Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (WII–SACON) for their support and encouragement. We are grateful to Shri. Eshwara B. Khandre, Hon’ble Minister, Forest, Ecology and Environment Department, Government of Karnataka and Chairperson, Karnataka Biodiversity Board for considering our proposal and to Shri. Jagat Ram, IFS, Member Secretary, Karnataka Biodiversity Board for sanctioning the study. We are also grateful to Shri. Subhash K Malkhede, IFS, Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Wildlife), for granting us necessary permits to enter the protected areas and for supporting this study.

We express our heartfelt gratitude to Karnataka Forest Department, Hassan Division for granting permission and providing logistical assistance to carry out this study in the Sakleshpura Range, Yesalur range and Kabbinala Range and the associated non-protected areas. We sincerely thank Mr. A. K. Singh, IFS, Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Research and Utilization) Division of Karnataka Forest Department and Mr. M.J Govardhan Singh, IFS, Deputy Conservator of Forests, Karnataka Biodiversity Board for their encouragement, valuable guidance and constant support throughout this study. Our heartfelt thanks to Dr Jagdish Krishnaswamy, Indian Institute of Human Settlements (IIHS), Bangaluru for his expert advice on the study design at earlier stage of this study. We are also grateful to Dr. Goldin Quadros, Principal Scientist, Sálím Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON), for providing access to the microscopy laboratory, which greatly facilitated the identification of fish species.

We extend our sincere thanks to Mr. Yedukondalu V. IFS, Conservator of Forests, Hassan Forest Division and Mr. Saurabh Kumar, IFS, Deputy Conservator of Forests, Hassan Division and office staff for their kind support. We are especially thankful to Mr. Hemanth Kumar, Range Forest officer, Sakleshpura and to Mr. Krishna, Range Forest Officer, Yesalur forest Range and to all frontline staff for their constant cooperation and guidance during field sampling.

We are extremely grateful to our field collaborators especially, Mr. Jayanth H, Mr. Manjunath Gowda and Mr. Dinesh for assisting in fish sampling and Mr. Prasanna for his kind hospitality, logistics and comfortable stay at Sakleshpura.

Summary

To meet the rising need for electricity, drinking water requirements of urban towns and cities, many water diversion projects are in place. Such project involves construction of roads and hydrological barriers which may submerge a large track of intact forest and drastically alter stream habitat conditions. Hydro-ecological studies have demonstrated that river barriers change the natural flow regime, stream habitat structure, and overall biological interconnectedness of headwater streams within and across river basins.

Under Yettinhole Integrated drinking water project, eight weirs were constructed in headwater habitat of Nethravathi river basin by Visvesvaraya Jala Nigam Ltd of Government of Karnataka. This study was undertaken to understand the effects of these weirs on native fish communities and identify degraded stream sites for restoration in future.

Eight weirs were surveyed four times and a control site was sampled once during the dry season (December 2025 to March 2026) to assess fish assemblages and habitat features across upstream-downstream of weirs, and control site (reference stream reaches). We recorded a suite of hydro-ecological variables including stream habitat characteristics, physicochemical variables, stream flow and land use land cover. Fish sampling was carried out using local fishing methods per site. The catch per unit effort (cpue) was standardized per site.

The study documented 34 fish species representing 16 families and 9 orders, providing an updated assessment of freshwater fish diversity in the Nethravathi River basin. The results show that the weirs altered the local stream habitat conditions, changed water chemistry thereby negatively impacted fish communities. The highest fish richness was found in a control site - Adda hole. Upstream sites represented near natural habitat condition like control site as a result it retained more species than downstream sites. Sites at downstream had a greater number of individuals of fish. Of the four habitat types, pool had highest species diversity than run, riffle and cascades. As per the non-metric multi-dimensional scaling approach (NMDS), overall fish composition differed across sampled sites. The control sites had a tight and well separated species composition than upstream and downstream sites.

Family Cypriniformes represented the most (93%) followed by Cyprinodontiformes (2.63%), Tetraodontiformes (1.58%) etc. Similarly, Danionidae was the most dominant (47.12 %) than Cyprinidae (31.91%), Nemacheilidae (10.78 %) etc. As per the IUCN threatened status, 25

species were Least Concern, 2 Data Deficient, 1 Vulnerable, 1 Endangered and five were Not Evaluated.

Overall, this study offers baseline ecological data on responses of fish diversity to changing habitat conditions and hydrology in a Nethravathi River sub-basin. Going forward, data will be useful for effective monitoring as well as conservation planning for fragile stream habitats in the central Western Ghats, in India.