

Report

Submitted to Forest Department of Odisha



Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History
(A Centre under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India)
Anaikatty P.O., Coimbatore – 641108, Tamil Nadu

Assessment, mitigation, and management of the impacts of the Sulaipat Iron Ore Mines project in Mayurbhanj district of Odisha state on floral and faunal biodiversity

Report

Submitted to

Odisha Forest Department

Funded by

Sulaipat Iron Ore Mines

Project Investigators: Dr. P.R. Arun

Dr. Rajah Jayapal

Dr. P.V. Karunakaran

Dr. S. Babu

Project Staff: Mr. M.S. Arjun

Mr. Alby J Mattathil



Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History

(A Centre under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India)

Anaikatty P.O, Coimbatore - 641108, Tamil Nadu

May 2022

CONTENTS

1		INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1		Mining scenario in India	2
	1.2	2	Mining scenario in Odisha	3
	1.3	3	Present Study	3
2		STU	UDY AREA	5
	2.1		Topography	6
	2.2	2	Climate	6
	2.3	3	Geology	6
	2.4	ļ	Biodiversity	7
3		ME'	THODOLOGY	8
	3.1	L	Vegetation Sampling	8
	3.2	2	Faunal Sampling	10
4		RES	SULTS	13
	4.1		Floristic composition	13
	4.2	2	Economically Important Plants	16
		4.2.1	Medicinal Plants	16
		4.2.2	Ornamental Plants	16
		4.2.3	Fruit Yielding Plants	16
	4.3	3	Phytodiversity (Compiled analysis of Core and Buffer zones)	16
		4.3.1	Tree community structure	16
		4.3.2	Shrub community structure	19
		4.3.3	Herbaceous plant community structure	20
	4.4	ļ	Major Invasive Plants	23
	4.5	5	Tree community	25
		4.5.1	Tree community structure of Core zone	25
		4.5.2	Tree community structure of Inner zone (2 km)	26
		4.5.3	Tree community structure of Middle zone (5 km)	27
		4.5.4	Tree community structure of Outer zone (10 km)	29
		4.5.5	Shrub community structure of Core zone	30
		4.5.6	Shrub community structure of Inner zone (2 km)	31
		4.5.7	Shrub community structure of Middle zone (5 km)	32
		4.5.8	Shrub community structure of Outer zone (10 km)	33
		4.5.9	Herbaceous plant community structure of the core zone	35
		4.5.1	0 Herbaceous plant community structure of Inner zone (2 km)	36

	4.5.11	Herbaceous plant community structure of Middle zone (5 km)	37
	4.5.12	Herbaceous plant community structure of Outer zone (10 km)	39
	4.6 Pla	nts for Green belt	40
	4.7 Fau	ına	42
	4.7.1	Avifaunal composition of Core and Buffer Zones (Inner ^{2km} , Middle ^{5km} , Outer ^{10km})	42
	4.7.2	The Avifauna of the Core Zone	48
	4.7.3	The avifauna of the Inner Zone (2 km)	50
	4.7.4	The avifauna of the Middle zone (5 km)	52
	4.7.5	The avifauna of the Outer zone (10 km)	54
	4.8 But	terflies	56
	4.9 Spi	ders	58
	4.10 Oth	er fauna	60
	4.11 Fau	na of Conservation importance	61
5	DISCU	SSION	62
	5.1 Imp	pacts on Flora and Fauna	63
	5.1.1	Anticipated impacts in the mining area	64
	5.1.2	Anticipated Impacts in the Buffer Zone	66
	5.2 Ma	nagement options:	67
6	CONC	LUSIONS	70
7	REFER	RENCES	71

Figures

Figure 1 Location map of the study area	5
Figure 2 Study zones along with sampling points	11
Figure 3 Habit-wise composition of plant species recorded from the study area	13
Figure 4 Ten most dominant plant families from the study area	14
Figure 5 Habitat wise proportion of plant species	15
Figure 6 No. of Individuals recorded in each Family of terrestrial birds	43
Figure 7 No. of Individuals recorded in each Family of wetland birds	44
Figure 8 No. of Individuals recorded from each sampling point	45
Figure 9 Habitat-wise Species richness of birds	
Figure 10 Family-wise species richness of terrestrial birds from the study area	47
Figure 11 Family-wise species richness of waterbirds recorded from the study area	48
Figure 12 Family-wise species richness of terrestrial bird species from the Core zone	49
Figure 13 Family-wise abundance of terrestrial bird species in the Core zone	50
Figure 14 Family-wise species richness of terrestrial bird species from the Inner zone (2 km)	51
Figure 15 Family-wise abundance of terrestrial bird species from the Inner zone (2 km)	52
Figure 16 Family-wise species richness of terrestrial bird species from Middle zone (5 km)	53
Figure 17 Family-wise abundance of terrestrial bird species from Middle Zone (5 km)	54
Figure 18 Family-wise species richness of terrestrial bird species from the Outer zone (10 km)	55
Figure 19 Family-wise abundance of terrestrial bird species from Outer zone 10 km	55
Figure 20 Family-wise species richness of Butterflies recorded from the study area	56
Figure 21 Family-wise species richness of Spiders recorded from the study area	58
Tables	
Table 1 Details of vegetation analysis conducted	
Table 2 Sampling methodology for different taxa	
Table 3 Community structure of tree species of the whole study area	
Table 4 Community structure of shrub layer recorded from the whole study area	
Table 5 Community structure of herbaceous layer recorded from the whole study area	
Table 6 Density of Major Invasive species recorded from the whole study area	
Table 7 Community structure of tree species in the core zone	
Table 8 Community structure of tree species in the Inner zone (2 km)	
Table 9 Community structure of tree species in the Middle zone (5 km)	
Table 10 Community structure of tree species in the Outer zone (10km)	
Table 11 Community structure of shrub layer in the core zone	
Table 12 Community structure of shrub layer in the Inner zone (2 km)	
Table 13 Community structure of shrub layer in the Middle zone (5 km)	
Table 14 Community structure of shrub layer in the Outer zone (10 km)	
Table 15 Community structure of herbaceous layer in the core zone	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Table 17 Community structure of herbaceous layer in the Middle zone (5 km)	
Table 18 Community structure of herbaceous layer in the outer zone (10 km)	
Table 19 Plant species suggested for green belts	
Table 21 Spiders recorded from the study area	
TADIC 41 SUIUCIS ICCUIUCU II UIII LIIC SLUUY AI CA	э9

Plates

Plate 1 Some common tree species in the study area	77
Plate 2 Some common herb species in the study area	78
Plate 3 Some common Shrub species in the study area	79
Plate 4 Some common grass species in the study area	80
Plate 5 Some common climber species in the study area	81
Plate 6 Some common bird species in the study area	82
Plate 7 Some common bird species in the study area	83
Plate 8 Some common bird species in the study area	84
Plate 9 Some common bird species in the study area	85
Plate 10 Some common butterfly species recorded from the study area	86
Plate 11 Some common butterfly species recorded from the study area	87
Plate 12 Some of the mammal species recorded from the study area	88
Plate 13 Some common fishes recorded from the study area	89
Plate 14 Some common fishes recorded from the study area	90
Plate 15 Study area landscape views	91
Plate 16 Landscape and Project Activities	92
Appendices	
Appendix 1 Checklist of the plant species recorded from the study area	93
Appendix 2 Checklist of other faunal species recorded from the study area	
Appendix 3 Checklist of bird species recorded from the study area	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The current study was carried out at the request of the Odisha Forest Department. We are grateful to Odisha Forest Department officers, Mr Arun Kumar Mishra IFS, Divisional Forest Officer, Mr Ramakanta Majhi, Range Officer, and Mr Sachikanta Bigar, Forester of the Rairangpur Forest Division, for their kind cooperation throughout the study. We sincerely thank the M/s Birat Chandra Dagara, management, and officials of the Sulaipat iron-ore mines for their valuable cooperation during the study. During our field surveys, Mr Sideshwa Mohanty of the B.C Dagara mines was especially keen and compassionate and helped us to arrange all the local hospitality. We thank the Director and other faculty members of SACON for their valuable support. We would like to thank Mr Akshath Shenoy (Research Fellow) and Mrs Amritha K.S (JRF) of the Kerala Forest Research Institute, Forest Ecology Department, and Dencin Rons Thampy (Project Consultant) of the Mahseer Trust for their generous help and assistance during the study.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

While according Stage-I approval for forest diversion proposal for Sulaipat Iron Ore mines of M/s Birat Chandra Dagara, the Union Ministry of Environment Forest and Climate Change had stipulated the condition that the "State Government shall commission a study to assess the impact of the project on floral and faunal biodiversity and appropriate measures to mitigate the identified impacts". Accordingly, the environmental assessment study was taken up by SACON on request from the Odisha Forest Department. The study was initiated by SACON in March 2020 and was completed by May 2021. Although there was some delay owing to the first and second waves of the Covid-19 pandemic, the field studies could be completed by March 2021.

The study area is located at Sulaipat in the Mayurbhanj district of Odisha. The Environmental Assessment was conducted based on secondary and primary data collected on select major components of the biodiversity of the area. Detailed field studies were carried out on biological components of the environment within the selected study area of 10 km radial distance around the different mine areas. Field surveys quantifying vegetation and major faunal groups such as mammals, birds, and butterflies were undertaken. The mines are surrounded by vegetation dominated by Sal forests interspersed with dry deciduous forests and agricultural lands dotted with natural and man-made water bodies. Further long-term evaluation will be needed for a better understanding of the area.

The intensive field surveys were carried out between September 2020 and December 2020. Over 337 species of flora and fauna were recorded during the study. This included 202 species of plant, 8 species of mammal, 92 species of birds, 10 species of reptiles, 18 species of fishes, 46 species of butterflies, and 53 species of spiders. There were only a few protected or conservation-priority species (under Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 and IUCN Red List) present in the study area. Among the mammals, a notably priority species recorded was the Asian elephant *Elephas maximus* (Endangered). Other records during the study included Three-striped Palm Squirrel *Funambulus palmarum*, Indian grey mongoose *Herpestes edwardsi*, Indian crested porcupine *Hystrix indica*, Indian hare, *Lepus nigricollis*, Rhesus macaque *Macaca mulatta*, and Barking deer *Muntiacus muntjak*. We recorded 92 species of birds (65 terrestrial and 27 wetland birds) belonging to 35 families. Common terrestrial birds of the area were Common myna *Acridotheres tristis*, Red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer*, Black drongo *Dicrurus macrocercus*, Spotted dove *Spilopelia chinensis*, Asian pied starling *Gracupica contra*, and Plum-headed parakeet *Psittacula cyanocephala*.

Careful planning and monitoring in consultation with an expert institution in the field of ecology and wildlife before the expansion of the current mining area is suggested for minimizing the negative impact of the mining development on the local biodiversity. Some specific guidelines are also proposed for the conservation and sustainable management of the biodiversity the Measures such as 1. Preservation of existing green patches and water bodies around the mining area with the least disturbance, 2. Creation of green belts with native plant species, which may act as refugia patches for the birds and mammals and 3. Maintaining the potential habitat corridors in the landscape for the sustainable management of biodiversity of the region are suggested.

