IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED EIGHT-LANE ACCESS CONTROLLED CHENNAI-SALEM GREENFIELD CORRIDOR ON THE MOUNTAIN ECOSYSTEMS (HILLY REGIONS)

Final Report

submitted to
National Highways Authority of India (NHAI)





Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History

A Centre of Excellence under the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India. Anaikatty (PO), Coimbatore, 641 108

IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED EIGHT-LANE ACCESS CONTROLLED CHENNAI-SALEM GREENFIELD CORRIDOR ON THE MOUNTAIN ECOSYSTEMS (HILLY REGIONS)

Final Report Submitted to

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA (NHAI)

Investigators:

Arun, P. R., Balasubramanian, P., Kumara, H.N., Babu, S. & Riddhika Ramesh

Research Biologists:

Amirtha Balan, R. & Sandeep K. Das

Consultant Botanist:

Prakash, L



Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History

A Centre of Excellence under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, Anaikatty (P.O), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu - 641 108.

August 2019

Preferred Citation: Arun P.R., Balasubramanian P., Kumara H. N., Babu S., Riddhika R., Balan R.A., Sandeep K. D. & Prakash L. (2019) Impacts of the Proposed Eight-Lane Access Controlled Chennai-Salem Greenfield Corridor on the Mountain Ecosystems (Hilly Regions). Final report, SACON, Coimbatore, 102pp.

CONTENTS

C	ONTE	NTS	.3			
A	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS7					
Ε	Executive summary8					
1	INTRO	DUCTION	.9			
		Study area				
		Proposed Road Alignment				
		Literature Review				
	1.3.					
	1.3.2	2 Faunal Studies	13			
	1.3.	3 Road impact studies	15			
2	Метн	ODOLOGY1	L7			
	2.1 V	Vegetation Sampling	17			
	2.1.	1 Plant enumeration	17			
	2.1.2	2 Quantification of vegetation	17			
	2.2 I	Faunal Sampling	19			
	2.2.	1 Avifauna	20			
	2.2.2	2 Butterflies	22			
	2.2.	3 Reptiles	22			
	2.2.4	4 Mammals	23			
	2.2.:	5 Road-kills	24			
	2.3 V	Vehicle Traffic Intensity	25			
3	RESUL	.TS2	26			
	3.1 V	Vegetation	26			
	3.1.	1 Flora of the study site	26			
	3.1.2	2 Quantification of vegetation	27			
	3.1	3 Zone I -Road sides	27			
	3.1.4	4 Zone II (250 m away from road)	30			
	3.1.:	5 Zone III (500 m away from road)	33			
	3.1.0	6 Inferences	37			
	3.2 I	Butterflies	38			
	3.3 A	Avifauna	41			



	3.3.1	Distribution of birds	41
	3.3.2	Richness, diversity and density of birds in various distances from road:	43
	3.3.3	Comparison of bird density and diversity	46
	3.3.4	Inferences	48
	3.4 Ma	ammals	48
	3.4.1	Data analysis	48
	3.4.2	Results	50
	3.4.3	Occupancy modelling	52
	3.4.4	Capture rate of mammals	55
	3.4.5	Inferences	56
	3.4.6	Impact of road on Gaur population	57
	3.5 He	rpetofauna	58
	3.6 Ro	ad-kills	59
	3.7 We	etlands	61
4	CONCLU	SION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	62
5	REFEREN	NCES	66
6	A PPEND	ICES	76
		PLATES	94
•		- mrl: my tottottottottooooooooooooooooooooooo	



TABLES

Table 1 Formulae used for calculation of vegetation parameters	. 18
Table 2 Ecological information of trees in Zone I (Along Roadside)	. 28
Table 3 Ecological information of shrub layer in Zone I (Along roadside)	. 28
Table 4 Ecological information of herbaceous layer in Zone I (Along Roadside)	. 29
Table 5 Ecological information of trees in Zone II (250 m away from access road)	. 30
Table 6 Ecological information of shrub layer in Zone II	. 31
Table 7 Ecological information of herb layer in Zone II	. 32
Table 8 Ecological information of trees in Zone III (500 m away from roadside)	. 33
Table 9 Ecological information of shrub layer in Zone III	. 34
Table 10 Ecological information of herb layer in Zone III	. 35
Table 11 Community characteristics of tree species in different zones of the study area \dots	. 36
Table 12 Community characteristics of shrub layer in different Zones of the study area $$. 36
Table 13 Community characteristics of herb layer in different Zones of the study area \ldots	. 36
Table 14 List of Avenue tree species found along the road in the study area	. 36
Table 15 List of wild tree species found in the adjoining areas of the road in the study are	a37
Table 16 Butterfly species recorded from the study area	. 38
Table 17 Estimates of bird density (birds/ha) with respect to different foraging guilds $$. 44
Table 18 Bird species richness, density, diversity and evenness recorded during the study	. 44
Table 19 Density of omnivore, frugivore and insectivore birds in different distance bands.	. 45
Table 20 Comparison of density of birds with other studies	. 46
Table 21 Comparison of species diversity of birds with other studies	. 47
Table 22 Pearson Correlation tests among site covariates prior to occupancy modelling \dots	. 50
Table 23 Checklist of mammals recorded from the study area	. 51
Table 24 Top models for Gaur	. 53
Table 25 Top models for Indian Wild Pig	. 53
Table 26 Top models for Bonnet Macaque	. 54
Table 27 Top models for Indian Chevrotain	. 54
Table 28 Top models for Common Palm Civet	. 54
Table 29 Top models for Ruddy Mongoose	. 54
Table 30 Zone wise capture rate of mammals in the study area	. 55
Table 31 Recordings of Bonnet Macaque along the road	. 55
Table 32 List of herpetofauna recorded from the study site	. 59
Table 33 Roadkill records	. 60



FIGURES

Figure 1 Map showing the alignment of the proposed eight lane road	12
Figure 2 Sampling layout design used for vegetation sampling	19
Figure 3 Aerial view of the study area with point count locations	21
Figure 4 Study area map showing locations of camera-traps, trails and point counts	24
Figure 5 Proportion of plant species in the study area representing various growth forms	27
Figure 6 Composition of birds with respect to Feeding guilds	42
Figure 7 Protection status of birds recorded from the study area	42
Figure 8 Order-wise species richness of birds	43
Figure 9 Family-wise species richness of birds	43
Figure 10 nMDS plot of species composition of birds	46
Figure 11 Map showing the location records of mammal species w.r.t. the road	52
Figure 12 Risk Probability model for road crossing for Gaur (from WII 2016)	58
Figure 13 Road-kill records along the road (data from the total 14 road transects)	60
Figure 14 Suggested locations of 300 m underpasses in the Manjavadi Ghats area	64
Figure 15 Schematic Diagram of required underpasses for a 3 km of forest patch (from	
2016)	64
APPENDICES	
Appendix 1 List of plant species recorded from the study area	76
Appendix 2 List of birds recorded in the study area	89
Appendix 3 Details of mammal species recorded	93
PHOTO PLATES	
Plate 1 Animal passess and mammal signs	
Plate 2: Some of the major species recorded during the study	
Plate 3 Views of the existing road at Manjavadi Ghats	
Plate 4 Some of the bird species recorded from the area	97
Plate 5 Some of the butterflies recorded in the present study	
Plate 6 Camera trap records of faunal species	
Plate 7 Road-kill records	
Plate 8 View of the study area with schematic representation of animal passes	102



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

e gratefully acknowledge Dr. K. Sankar, Director SACON for his encouragement and support throughout the study. We thank the Forest department team lead by Mr. A. Periyasamy, IFS. DFO, Salem, for being extremely cooperative and helpful during our field studies at the forests of Manjavadi Gats area. Mr. Venkatesan, Range forest officer, Pappareddipalayam is acknowledged for all his support and guidance during the reconnaissance survey and for establishing the field station. Mr. PT Mohan, Project Director NHAI PIU-Kancheepuram, Mr. Ashutosh Samant Singhar (IFS), Retd. APCCF, Joint Advisor, NHAI, R.O. Chennai, Mr. Srinagesh M., Assistant Manager (Environment) Feedback and Dr. Raj Kumar Singh, General Manager (Environment) of Feedback Infra Private Limited are acknowledged for providing all the background information regarding the proposed highway project and assistance in the field. Forest guards Mr. K. Perumal and Mr. Palanivel, and the Forest watcher Mr. Sukumar are acknowledged for local assistance and support provided for SACON's research team in the field. Dr. Rajah Jayapal, Mr. Harif Parengal, Mr. Anoop V,, Mr. Sethu M R, Ms. Binisha Balan and Mr. Pavan C, of SACON are acknowledged for all the academic inputs and cooperation during the study and report writing. Mr. Govindan, and Mr. Ramesh, of Vellaiyappan kovil are acknowledged for the field assistance provided during the field studies at Manjavadi Ghats area.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

he National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) proposes to develop an eight lane access controlled greenfield corridor of 277.3 km between Chennai and Salem in Tamil Nadu. The proposal was considered by the Environment Appraisal Committee (EAC) of the Union Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MOEF&CC) during its 195th meeting on 31st August 2018. The committee *inter alia* suggested an additional study on the impact of proposed alignment specifically on the Mountain ecosystem (hilly region) from a reputed agency. Further to the request received in this regard from the NHAI, SACON undertook a six month study on the impact of the proposed eight-lane Chennai-Salem Greenfield Corridor on the Mountain Ecosystems (hilly regions).

The study was conducted along the alignment of the proposed road focusing intensive studies on the 2.8 km road stretch at Manjavadi Ghat section, that pass through the major hill forest area between the hills of Kalrayan and Shevaroys. The proposed road alignment at this area falls along the existing Salem-Harur State highway. Implementation of the proposed eight lane highway would primarily involve expansion of this existing state highway here.

During the present study, we conducted intensive field studies for four months (January to April 2019), after an initial reconnaissance in December 2018. We documented the faunal and floral species occurring in the project area covering 500 m on either side of the proposed Road alignment, using standard methods. Our results indicated that the area harbours a good biodiversity including several conservation important floral and faunal taxa. The area would require careful planning and implementation during the development of the proposed eight lane road along this stretch, in order to minimize the impacts and ensuring connectivity of habitat and free movement of wildlife between the forests of Kalrayan and Shevaroys.

A fully elevated road (viaduct) over the 2.8 km stretch at Manjavadi Ghats is suggested for the sake of best habitat connectivity and minimal impacts on the wildlife. Alternatively, we suggest three animal passes, each of 300 m wide along the 2.8 km stretch as the minimal requirement for effective habitat connectivity and wildlife movement across the proposed eight lane road at the Manjavadi Ghats area. Integration of rainwater harvesting mechanisms along with road construction and appropriate camouflaging of the pillars of the overpasses/viaduct are also suggested.