Assessment of occurrence and abundance of large mammals, birds and woody plants in Bannerghatta National Park, Karnataka

Technical Report



Honnavalli N. Kumara, M.K. Saptha Girish and M. Murugesan



Karnataka Forest Department Government of Karanataka



Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History Kumara, H.N., Sapthagirish, M.K. and Murugesan, M. (2011). Assessment of occurrence and abundance of large mammals, birds and woody plants in Bannerghatta National Park, Karnataka. Technical Report submitted to Karnataka Forest Department, Bannerghatta National Park, Bengalure, Karantaka, India.

Assessment of occurrence and abundance of large mammals, birds and woody plants in Bannerghatta National Park, Karnataka

Technical Report

Submitted to

Karnataka Forest Department

Bannerghatta National Park, Bengaluru

Honnavalli N. Kumara¹, M.K. Saptha Girish² and M. Murugesan¹

¹Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Anaikatty, Coimbatore 641108, Tamil Nadu, India

²No. F/34, New Sayaji Rao Road, Fort Mohalla, Mysore 570004, Karnataka, India

Acknowledgem	ents	v
Chapters	P	'age No.
Chapter –I.	Introduction and methods	01
Chapter – II.	Occurrence and abundance of woody plants	09
Chapter –III.	Occurrence and abundance of birds	33
Chapter –IV.	Occurrence and abundance of large mammals	s 55
Chapter –V.	Conclusions and Conservation measures	73

We would like to thank Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Mr. B. K. Singh, for giving permission, encouragement and constant support for the study. Thanks are also due to Mr. Swaminathan, Chief Wildlife Warden and Mr. Kumar Pushkar, Conservator of Forests, who have extended their support throughout the study period. The need for this study was first expressed by Mr. Bishwajith Mishra, wildlife warden of the sanctuary. We would like to thank him for his constant support and positive initiation to manage the park with conservation in focus. We thank Dr. Azeez, Director of SACON, and all my colleagues for constant encouragement and support for the successful completion of this project.

We wish to thank Mr. V.R. Naik, Assistant Conservator of Forests, Mr. K. Nagaraju, Mr. G. Venugopal and Mr. B.T. Mohamad Ali the Range Forest Officers of the National Park, who were actually the coordinators of the project. They took a lot of pain and spent a considerable amount of time with the team and facilitated project field work. We acknowledge the support of Mr. K. Nagaraju, RFO and Mr. G. Narayana Swamy, Superindent who helped us during many difficult situations. We thank all the forest personnel for spending time, walking transects, sharing their experiences with the wild animals and giving constant support during the entire study period. We especially mention Nanjunda Swamy, Bheemaiah, Venkateshappa, Muthaiah, Raghu, Shivanna, Basavanna, Ramanjunaiah, Lakshmaiah, Srinivasa, Guruswamy, Harish and Munivenkatappa. We acknowledge the support of Mr. H.P. Ashwin, Mr. Shrinivas, Mr. Shivananad and Mrs. Sowmya Urs, for volunteering for the field work which helped us to complete the study within the stipulated time. We thank Dr. M.B. Krishna for discussions on various aspects and helping in giving the inputs. Our special thanks to Mr. Ashwin who helped us to complete the night surveys which was instrumental in completing the project successfully. We thank Mr. Babu, KFRI, for helping in the preparation of maps and GIS support. We thank Amritha, Sukhprit Kaur and Babu for working on the manuscript and helping us in improving the report.

Kumara, Saptha Girish and Murugesan

Chapter 1 Introduction and methods

Introduction

Increase in the human population all over the world, especially in the tropical countries, has caused loss of vegetation cover and potential habitats, which in turn has affected animal distribution. Furthermore, it has resulted in an uneven and highly clumped distribution of animals (Myers et al., 2000). Habitat loss due to human activities is the leading cause of species extinction (Pimm and Raven, 2000). Along with habitat destruction, game hunting and poaching of wild animals have also contributed to uneven distribution of animals as well as species extinction. Awareness regarding the effect of human interference on natural processes has led to the development of conservation and management strategies in order to retain and restore the remaining wildlife and habitats. For the past hundred years also, there has been an accelerated loss of wildlife, especially of the mammalian species, in India. Although a few steps have been initiated from time to time to protect wildlife, it was only in 1972, that a comprehensive act was promulgated (Wildlife (protection) act, 1972). One of the most significant outcomes of this act was the declaration of a large number of Wildlife reserves, Wildlife Sanctuaries and National Parks (Bisht, 1995), which are collectively known as the Protected Area Network today.

The preparation of any conservation action plan requires at the very least basic information on the importance of the given ecosystem including biodiversity, ecological and conservation status of species, distribution patterns and identification of critical area for each important species, evaluation of existing threats and solutions for resolving or reducing them. Despite the fact that many parks have been created in the country, the baseline data on species availability, abundance and distribution for even the major taxonomic groups are not available, thus the population monitoring and conservation efforts suffer. Such data is available only for some charismatic species or for areas with such species, but many other parks are been highly ignored from documentation and management effort. Bannerghatta National Park is one such protected area which requires detailed inventorying of different taxonomic groups. Nagarahole-Nilgiris-Eastern Ghats elephant reserve in South India is one of the important conservation areas for elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in India with a minimum population estimation of about 6300 elephants in an area of 12,000 km². This reserve extends from the Brahmagiri hills in the north, Nilgiris in the south, and Eastern Ghats in the east in the states of Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Kerala, including few elephant herds in Andhra Pradesh (Asian Elephant Research and Conservation Centre, 1998). Bannerghatta National Park is part of this forest complex and one of the important areas for elephant conservation (Alva, 1994). Many anecdotal records of bird watchers and naturalists report presence of large number of mammal species and about 250 species of birds. However, systematic exploration has not been attempted in the park to document the occurrence of major taxonomic groups except for studies on various aspects of elephants and human-elephant conflict (Anand et al., 2009; Srinivasaiah, 2010). Towards this end, we explored and documented the occurrence and abundance of woody plants, birds and large mammals in the Bannerghatta National Park.

Bannerghatta National Park

Bannerghatta National Park lies between 12° 34'-12° 50' N and 77° 31'-77° 38' E, with an area of 104.27 sq. km. in the Bengaluru district (Fig. 1.1). Altitude varies from 740 to 1034 m asl, temperature from 20 to 35°C, and average annual rainfall is about 900 mm and is spread across eight months from April to November, the park receives maximum rainfall between August and October (Fig. 1.2). The park has mostly dry deciduous forests and thorny scrubs, with patches of moist deciduous forests along the streams. The park can be considered as the western tip of Eastern Ghats, and can be expected to have many elements of Eastern Ghats. The park is highly irregular in shape and measures a maximum of 26 km in length from north to south and the width varies between 0.3 and 5 km from east to west (Rajeev, 2002). Geographically, the park is contiguous with Tali Reserved Forest of Tamil Nadu state to the South-East and with Bilikal Reserved Forest of Karnataka state to the South-West. This further connects to the larger patch of forest of Cauvery Wildlife Sanctuary and Nilgiri biosphere reserve. By and large, the park has scrubs and deciduous forests, and moist deciduous and riparian vegetation along the valleys and streambed. A small quarter of the northern part of the park has been developed as a Biological Park to attract people and for education purposes.



Figure 1.1 Map of the Bannerghatta National Park



Figure 1.2 Mean monthly rainfalls for the period of 1991-2001

People in and around BNP

The park is surrounded by 117 human settlements within 5 km of the buffer zone from the boundary and 5 settlements within the park (Anand et al., 2009). Majority of the community is illiterate and belongs to the economically weaker sections of the society. Major source of income of these people depends on agriculture and to some extent on daily wages. Many quarries and sand mines are also found around the park, and local people work in these quarries and sand mines on daily wages.



Figure 1.3 Vegetation map of the Bannerghatta National Park

Research in BNP

The BNP is expected to harbor large number of species of various taxa (Lal et al., 1994). BNP being located very close to Bengaluru, many bird watchers and naturalists have been going for birding in the region and have reported sight records of many bird species. Over the period more than 200 species of birds have been documented in BNP, many of which have been sighted rarely. However, no proper information is available on 4

other taxonomic groups, except the elephant. The census conducted by Karnataka Forest Department during 2007 projects 1.41 elephants per sq. km for the park Bhaskaran et al. (2007), and Anand et al. (2009) reported 0.71 elephants per sq. km. The rate of human-elephant conflict has increased in the last three decades, and was at its peak in the year 2001-2002. An average of two human deaths by elephants and one elephant death by people (may be by electrocution or poaching) was observed in and around the park between 1997 and 2002 (Rajeev, 2002). The recently concluded study by Srinivasaiah (2010) highlighted the habitat utilization and spatial segregation between elephants and humans, and its implication value for conservation for BNP. Though BNP is expected to harbor large number of species of various taxonomic groups (Lal et al., 1994), attention has not been paid to document them which prompted us to undertake a short term study to establish a baseline data on occurrence and abundance of woody plants, birds and mammals in BNP.

Methods

The digital map of the park was acquired from the Karnataka Forest Department. A five square kilometer grid was overlaid on the map of the park. A diagonal line was fixed for each grid as a sampling line for the assessment (Fig. 1.4). Circular plots and quadrates were laid at regular interval of 200 m as sampling plots (Fig. 1.5) on the transect line. The required data was collected using these sampling plots. The details on field methods used for each taxonomic group are provided in the respective chapters. Finding the presence of small nocturnal mammals using the conventional methods like transect walk or plot search during the day is just not possible, hence we have also conducted the survey during the night for direct sightings of these animals.

The report: The current chapter (Chapter 1) provides background for the study and also highlights the importance of the study for Bannerghatta National Park. The other three chapters divulge the findings on the occurrence and abundance of woody plants (Chapter 2), birds (Chapter 3) and mammals (Chapter 4).



Figure 1.4 Sampling grids in the Bannerghatta National Park





References

- Alva, U.T (1994). Project Elephant: Management Plan for Karnataka, Government of India.
- Anand, V.D., Varma, S. and Gopalakrishna, S.P (2009). Conservation of Asian elephant through mitigation of human-elephant conflict in Bannerghatta National Park, Southeprn India. Technical Report II:2009. A Rocha India, Bangalore.
- Bhaskaran, N., Kannan, G. and Sukumar, R (2007). Southern India Elephant Census 2007, Final report to Karnataka Forest Department. Asian Elephant Research and Conservation Centre, Asian Nature Conservation Foundation, Innovation Centre, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore – 560 012, India.
- Bisht, R.S (1995). *National Parks of India*. Publication Division, Ministry of Information and broadcasting, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Lal, R., Kothari, A., Pande, P. and Singh, S (1994). Directory of National parks and Sanctuaries in Karnataka, management status and profiles. Indian institute of public administration, New Delhi.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B. and Kent, J (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403:853-858.
- Pimm, S. and Raven, P (2000). Extinction by numbers. Nature, 403, 843-845.
- Rajeev, B.M.T (2002). Wildlife Management Plan for Bannerghatta National Park, 2003-04 to 2007-08. Government of Karnataka.
- Shivanandaiah, S (2010). Determinants of dry season distribution of Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*) in a fragmented landscape of Eastern Ghats, Southern India and implications for human-elephant conflict. A Masters thesis submitted to TIFR, NCBS, Bangalore, India.



- a. Valley covered by tree canopy at Bannerghatta range., b. Water hole at Bannerghatta range.,
 c. Bamboo forest at Anekal range



- a. Forest cover adjacent to huge granite rock in Bannergatta range.,
 b. Water hole at Bannergatta range



Field activities during the survey period



Field activities during the survey period

Chapter 2 Occurrence and abundance of Woody plants in BNP

Introduction

In any ecosystem, the floral structure and composition determines the presence of animal species. Hence an understanding of the vegetation of the area is always important to understand other life forms. Vegetation in tropical countries is under constant threat and is showing drastic change. Since Bannerghatta National Park is narrow linear in shape and has high human density along the border, the vegetation of such condition is under transformation and is also prone to invasion by invasive species. In the present study we establish the existing stand structure of the vegetation of Bannerghatta National Park.

Methods

Vegetation is universally recognized as an integral component of ecosystems, which indicates the effects of changing environmental conditions in an obvious and easily measurable manner and is much important in site evaluation and classification. Hence, careful analysis of vegetation is very important to know the distribution and types of floral components in an ecosystem. For phytosociological analysis quadrate method was used in the present study, since it is the most widely used technique for plant census. Vegetation analysis was done in different habitats of Bannerghatta National Park, Bangalore.

In order to study the trees/woody plants of Bannerghatta National Park in general and to estimate the tree density in particular, quadrates of 25×25 m size were laid. A total of 164 such quadrates were laid by representing all the vegetation types during the present study period. Based on the length of the grid and presence of different kinds of vegetation a number of quadrates were laid varying from 5-12. Species with GBH (Girth at Breast Height) > 15 cm were considered as trees/woody species. The GBH of woody trees occurring in the 25 x 25 m plots were recorded and their girth measured at 1.3 m from ground level. For buttressed trees, measurements were made above the buttress as far as possible and for

lianas, 1.3 m from the base of liana stems. For multi-stemmed trees, bole girths were measured separately, basal area calculated and summed. Species encountered during vegetation sampling and surveys were recorded. Taxonomic identification of the species encountered in the field was done consulting the flora of Hooker (1872-97), Gamble (1957), Jain and Rao (1983) and Matthew (1999).

Table 2.1 Calculating quantita	tive structure	and com	position of
plant communities			

Parameters	Formula adopted
Frequency (%)	(No. of quadrates in which a species occurred/ Total no. of quadrates studied) \times 100
Abundance	Total number of individuals of the species/ No. of quadrates in which the species occurred
Density	Total no. of individuals of a given species/ Total no. of quadrates examined
Relative density	No. of individuals/ No. of individuals of all species
Relative abundance	(Abundance of species x 100) / Sum of all abundances
Relative frequency	Number of quadrates occurring/ Total no. of quadrates
Basal area	(GBH) ² / 4π
Relative Basal area	(Total basal area of Individuals/ Total basal area of all species) x 100
IVI	Relative density + Relative dominance + Relative frequency
Family Relative density (%)	(Number of trees in a family/Total number of trees) x 100
Family Relative Diversity (%)	(Number of species in a family/total number of species) x 100
Family Relative Dominance (%)	(Total basal area for all species in a family/Total basal area of all families) x 100
Family Importance Value (FIV)	Σ of Family relative density, diversity and dominance
Simpson diversity	$D=\Sigma (n/N)^2$

The vegetation data was analysed to obtain the quantitative structure and composition of plant communities. For understanding the synthetic characters of forest vegetation, the species' richness and diversity of species' in the stands was calculated. The vegetation data was tabulated for frequency, density, abundance, relative frequency, relative density, relative abundance, relative dominance, IVI and composition of plant communities, following Curtis and MC Intosh (1950), Philips (1959). The Shannon-Wiener's index of diversity (H') was calculated using the software 'Species diversity and richness (version 2.65, Colwell, 1994-2004)'. FIV was calculated by using Mori et al. (1983). Table 2.1 provides formulae used to calculate each of the vegetation parameters.

Results

Tree Community Structure

A total of 5766 woody plants, belonging to 153 species (\geq 15 cm GBH), 109 genera and spread over 49 families, in 164 quadrates (25 x 25 m), were recorded in the study area (Table 2.2 and 2.3). The Woody plants community parameters, computed from the data are presented in Table 2.2. Among these, *Anogeissus latifolia* is represented by *a* maximum number of individuals (n = 441), followed by *Acacia chundra* (n = 343) and *Shorea roxburghii* (n = 281). The highest Important Value Index (IVI) was recorded for *Shorea roxburghii* (16.89), followed by *Anogeissus latifolia* (12.73) and *Acacia chundra* (10.47) (Fig. 2.1). The Shannon-Weiner index of diversity for woody plants community in the study area was 4.2272. The Simpson index of diversity was 0.9766. The mean stand density was 570.67 stems ha⁻² and the basal area was 44.83 m² ha⁻².

Table 2.2 The stand structure of the woody plants inBannerghatta National Park

Variables	Value
Number of woody species	153
No. of genera	109
No. of families	49
Shannon index (H)	4.2272
Simpson Index (D)	0.976617
Stand density (stems ha-2)	570.67 (stems ha-2)
Basal area (m² ha-²)	44.83 (m ² ha ⁻²)
Number of liana species	6
Number of Multi-stemmed individuals	1117
Total number of species	219

Table 2.3 Density and important value index of the woodyplants in BNP

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Anogeissus latifolia	441	236.88	2.69	13.86	2.95	12.73
Acacia chundra	343	228.52	2.09	15.71	3.34	10.47
Shorea roxburghii	281	247.66	1.71	21.25	4.52	16.89
Ixora arborea	219	152.95	1.34	10.75	2.29	8.38
Diospyros melanoxylon	177	79.94	1.08	3.59	0.76	6.34
Canthium dicoccum	163	134.74	0.99	11.09	2.36	6.33
Ochna obtusata	156	49.65	0.95	1.43	0.30	5.42
Syzygium cumini	153	201.93	0.93	28.77	6.13	5.63
Wrightia tinctoria	118	104.12	0.72	11.03	2.35	4.69

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Buchanania axillaris	116	88.62	0.71	6.56	1.40	4.68
Strychnos potatorum	106	90.95	0.65	8.37	1.78	4.24
Dalbergia paniculata	105	82.15	0.64	6.23	1.33	4.76
Pterocarpus marsupium	100	70.2	0.61	5.33	1.13	4.16
Chloroxylon swietenia	93	67.22	0.57	4.67	0.99	3.73
Albizia amara	92	96.71	0.56	9.98	2.12	3.80
Buchanania lanzan	92	89.52	0.56	9.56	2.04	3.83
Flacourtia indica	90	44.33	0.55	2.34	0.50	4.12
Naringi crenulata	89	58.02	0.54	3.56	0.76	4.03
Erythroxylum monogynum	86	78.46	0.52	7.18	1.53	3.96
Polyalthia cerasoides	83	55.58	0.51	4.06	0.86	3.44
Santalum album	83	23.97	0.51	0.59	0.13	3.67
Azadirachta indica	81	52.2	0.49	2.97	0.63	3.45
Terminalia bellirica	75	106.66	0.46	15.12	3.22	3.67
Terminalia arjuna	74	102.11	0.45	13.86	2.95	3.11
Pongamia pinnata	73	93.37	0.45	12.79	2.72	3.33
Boswellia serrata	70	61.64	0.43	5.59	1.19	3.23
Holarrhena antidvsenterica	70	28.98	0.43	1.25	0.27	3.08
Diospyros montana	68	32.12	0.41	1.63	0.35	3.58
Syzygium alternifolium	67	69.66	0.41	7.6	1.62	2.92
Feronia elephantum	66	54.7	0.40	4.57	0.97	3.05
Cassia fistula	61	32.42	0.37	1.9	0.40	3.28
Vitex altissima	61	75.33	0.37	10.06	2.14	2.98
Maytanus emarginata	59	42.44	0.36	3.1	0.66	2.87
Olea dioica	59	42.18	0.36	3.15	0.67	2.66
Terminalia chebula	57	35.19	0.35	2.48	0.53	2.66
Bauhinia racemosa	52	47.32	0.32	5.05	1.08	2.99
Butea monosperma	50	47.45	0.30	5.28	1.12	2.81
Diospyros chloroxylon	49	22.42	0.30	0.98	0.21	2.34
Terminalia tomentosa	49	50.86	0.30	4.89	1.04	2.40

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Terminalia crenulata	46	54.22	0.28	8.33	1.77	2.48
Phyllanthus polyphyllus	45	28.87	0.27	1.89	0.40	2.27
Bassia latifolia	44	39.08	0.27	3.25	0.69	2.31
Ficus benghalensis	44	156.29	0.27	51.56	10.98	2.88
Schleichera oleosa	44	44.49	0.27	4.32	0.92	2.28
Semecarpus anacardium	44	37.89	0.27	3.1	0.66	2.36
Albizia odoratissima	43	36.21	0.26	3.03	0.65	2.34
Glochidion bourdillonii	42	42.04	0.26	4.21	0.90	4.38
Ochna gamblei	38	12.09	0.23	0.35	0.07	2.12
Eucalyptus globulus	37	48.26	0.23	7.61	1.62	2.01
Premna tomentosa	36	28.04	0.22	2.08	0.44	2.12
Bridelia crenulata	35	23.21	0.21	1.66	0.35	1.85
Memecylon umbellatum	35	27.76	0.21	2.23	0.47	2.34
Lannea coromandelica	33	29.58	0.20	2.51	0.53	1.84
Balanites aegyptiaca	31	14.59	0.19	0.63	0.13	1.96
Careya arborea	31	35.19	0.19	4.19	0.89	1.75
Mitragyna parvifolia	29	27.57	0.18	2.46	0.52	1.71
Firmiana colorata	28	29.92	0.17	3.05	0.65	1.61
Dalbergia latifolia	27	15.8	0.16	0.82	0.17	1.60
Cassia siamea	26	35.9	0.16	5.22	1.11	1.53
Stereospermum colais	25	18.11	0.15	1.28	0.27	1.64
Dolichandrone atrovirens	23	20.25	0.14	1.65	0.35	1.44
Ziziphus xylopyrus	23	14.44	0.14	0.85	0.18	1.42
Ardisia solanacea	22	5.4	0.13	0.1	0.02	1.94
Sterculia urens	21	27.2	0.13	3.1	0.66	1.33
Ficus microcarpa	20	47.22	0.12	11.35	2.42	1.37
Memecylon edule	20	15.11	0.12	1.36	0.29	1.33
Grewia abutilifolia	19	9.9	0.12	0.56	0.12	1.29
Capparis grandis	18	14.27	0.11	1.79	0.38	1.22
Cassine glauca	18	17.68	0.11	1.67	0.36	1.23
<i>Cordia</i> sp.	18	13.29	0.11	0.87	0.19	1.33

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Wrightia arborea	17	16.15	0.10	1.47	0.31	1.18
Tarenna asiatica	16	8.72	0.10	0.43	0.09	1.12
Streblus asper	15	18.26	0.09	4.02	0.86	1.23
Alangium salviifolium	14	19.47	0.09	3.26	0.69	1.03
Clausena dentata	14	6.86	0.09	0.34	0.07	1.05
Ehretia pubescens	14	11.7	0.09	0.93	0.20	1.55
Elaeocarpus serratus	14	7.08	0.09	0.29	0.06	1.04
Cycas circinalis	13	6.11	0.08	0.26	0.06	0.99
Eucalyptus tereticornis	13	14.96	0.08	1.43	0.30	1.45
Linociera malabarica	13	7.91	0.08	0.43	0.09	1.00
Mallotus philippensis	13	8.57	0.08	0.59	0.13	1.22
Phyllanthus emblica	13	7.56	0.08	0.46	0.10	0.99
Gmelina arborea	12	11.33	0.07	0.93	0.20	1.03
Phyllanthus indofischerii	12	6.95	0.07	0.36	0.08	0.94
Ailanthes excelsa	10	11.37	0.06	1.22	0.26	0.86
Garcinia gummi-gutta	10	3.29	0.06	0.1	0.02	2.73
Randia brandisii	10	5.13	0.06	0.25	0.05	1.14
Stereospermum personatum	10	6.08	0.06	0.35	0.07	1.14
Tamarindus indicus	10	19.55	0.06	4.55	0.97	1.14
Wendlandia lawii	10	6	0.06	0.32	0.07	0.90
Adina cordifolia	8	12.16	0.05	1.57	0.33	0.76
Erythrina stricta	8	6.8	0.05	0.57	0.12	0.82
Holoptelea integrifolia	8	6.53	0.05	0.67	0.14	0.73
Maba buxifolia	8	2.21	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.94
Miliusa tomentosa	8	5.34	0.05	0.33	0.07	0.82
Acacia leucophloea	7	3.63	0.04	0.2	0.04	0.74
Givotia moluccana	7	11.25	0.04	1.7	0.36	0.69
Mangifera indica	7	11.79	0.04	1.77	0.38	0.68
Bombax malabaricum	6	6.47	0.04	0.67	0.14	0.63
Ehretia ovalifolia	6	5.53	0.04	0.64	0.14	0.95
Hiptage benghalensis	6	2.07	0.04	0.07	0.01	0.66

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Morinda tinctoria	6	3.15	0.04	0.17	0.04	0.62
Tectona grandis	6	4.64	0.04	0.31	0.07	0.95
Ziziphus oenoplia	6	1.96	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.66
Acacia torta	5	2.14	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.80
Ficus virens	5	9.56	0.03	1.89	0.40	0.58
Pittosporum tetraspermum	5	3.89	0.03	0.25	0.05	0.80
Prosopis juliflora	5	7.21	0.03	0.96	0.20	0.64
Randia dumetorum	5	3.25	0.03	0.22	0.05	0.56
Salix tetrasperma	5	4.08	0.03	0.3	0.06	0.80
Acacia farneesiana	4	3.79	0.02	0.31	0.07	1.12
Dichrostachys cinerea	4	1.67	0.02	0.06	0.01	1.12
Ficus tsjakela	4	8.52	0.02	1.76	0.37	0.54
Millingtonia hortensis	4	2.67	0.02	0.15	0.03	1.12
Shorea thumbaggaia	4	2.29	0.02	0.1	0.02	1.12
Acacia nilotica	3	2.64	0.02	0.22	0.05	0.44
Acacia sp.	3	2.79	0.02	0.21	0.04	0.85
Chukrasia tabularis	3	2.83	0.02	0.24	0.05	0.52
Cochlospermum religiosum	3	4.18	0.02	0.46	0.10	0.85
Gardenia obovata	3	2.52	0.02	0.19	0.04	0.52
Madhuca longifolia	3	2.96	0.02	0.4	0.09	0.44
Melia dubia	3	1.76	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.44
Ochna lanceolata	3	1.28	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.85
Sapindus emarginatus	3	2.33	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.44
Scutia myrtina	3	0.96	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.52
Strychnos nux-vomica	3	1.68	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.44
Syzygium jambos	3	7.75	0.02	1.68	0.36	0.52
Acacia auriculiformis	2	2.15	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.58
Breynia vitis-idaea	2	0.45	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.37
Bridelia retsua	2	1.25	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.37
Capparis sepiaria	2	1.1	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.58

Name of the species	No. of individuals	GBH in m	Density	Basal Area	Relative Basal Area	IVI
Cassine paniculata	2	0.9	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.58
Chrysophyllum roxburghii	2	1.6	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.58
Drypetes sepiaria	2	1.39	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.58
Gardenia gummifera	2	0.58	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.37
Helicteres isora	2	1.37	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.58
Nothopegia heyneana	2	0.92	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.58
Plumeria alba	2	3.41	0.01	0.49	0.10	0.58
Randia spinosa	2	1.56	0.01	0.1	0.02	0.58
Acacia polyacantha	1	0.63	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.31
Albizia lebbeck	1	0.46	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.31
Allophyllus serratus	1	1.27	0.01	0.13	0.03	0.31
Atalantia monophylla	1	0.61	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.31
Atalantia racemosa	1	2.36	0.01	0.44	0.09	0.31
Casearia tomentosa	1	0.74	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.31
Celastrus paniculatus	1	0.2	0.01	0	0.00	0.31
Derris scandens	1	0.59	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.31
Dolichandrone arcuatus	1	0.64	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.31
Ficus tomentosa	1	3.45	0.01	0.95	0.20	0.31
Lepisanthes tetraphylla	1	0.76	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.31
Schefflera stellata	1	2.6	0.01	0.54	0.11	0.31
Ventilago goughii	1	0.34	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.31
Viburnum acuminatum	1	1.67	0.01	0.22	0.05	0.31

Species accumulation curve was estimated using different estimators (Fig. 2.2), which depicts that reaching species accumulation reaching asymptote before 50 quadrate samplings. This shows that the sampled area is adequate and also represents the virtual vegetation of the park. However, few of the estimators projected possible additions of species with increase in effort.



Figure 2.1 Importance value indices (IVI) of the top 10 tree species



Figure 2.2 Different estimates of species area curve

Stem girth-class diversity, stand density, richness and basal area: The stand density and species richness was observed more in the girth 31-90 cm gbh, however, more basal area was contributed by the girth between 61-120 cm gbh (Table 2.4, Fig. 2.3).

Table 2.4 Occurrence of stand density, species richness andbasal area under various girth classes

Girth class (cm)	Stand density	Species richness	Basal area	Species occurrence rate (species richness/sp ecies density)
15-30	646	75	2.86	0.116099
31-60	1938	123	33.96	0.063467
61-90	1513	120	65.25	0.079313
91-120	729	93	62.78	0.127572
121-150	406	84	57.97	0.206897
151-180	210	67	45.27	0.319048
181-210	107	47	31.85	0.439252
> 210	217	62	169.77	0.285714



Figure 2.3 Stand density and basal area in different girth class

Familial composition

The number of woody plant families in the sampled area of the study site is 49 (Table 2.5), among them, Mimosaceae is the well-represented family with 13 species dominating the forest canopy, followed by Rubiaceae (12 species) and Euphorbiaceae (10 species). At the generic level, the family Rubiaceae is the dominant one with 9 genera followed by Euphorbiaceae (n=7) and Fabaceae (n=6). Based on density, the family Combretaceae represents the highest number with 742 individuals followed by Mimosaceae (n=513) and Rubiaceae (n=473). The maximum basal area in the present study area was recorded for the family Moraceae (71.53) followed by Combretaceae (53.65) and Myrtaceae (51.98). Of these, Combretaceae is the densest family (12.87%) in the forest stand, followed by Mimosaceae (8.90 %) and Fabaceae (6.31%). The families Alangiaceae, Balanitaceae, Bombacaceae, Burseraceae, Caprifoliaceae, Araliaceae, Clusiaceae, Cochlospermaceae, Cvcadaceae, Elaeocarpaceae, Ervthroxvlaceae. Lecvthidaceae. Malphigiaceae. Mvrsinaceae. Pittosporaceae, Salicaceae, Santalaceae, Simaroubaceae, Tiliaceae and Ulmaceae are represented by single species.

Table 2.5 The contribution of plant families to species richness,Genera richness, Family Relative Density, Family RelativeDiversity, basal area, Family Relative dominance and familyimportance value (FIV) in the various forest types ofBannerghatta National Park

Family	Species richness	Genera richness	No. of Individuals	FR Den	FR Diver	Basal area	FR Dom	FIV
Alangiaceae	1	1	14	0.24	0.65	3.26	0.69	1.59
Anacardiaceae	6	4	294	5.10	3.92	23.54	5.01	14.03
Annonaceae	2	2	91	1.58	1.31	4.39	0.93	3.82
Apocynaceae	4	3	207	3.59	2.61	14.24	3.03	9.24
Araliaceae	1	1	1	0.02	0.65	0.54	0.11	0.79
Balanitaceae	1	1	31	0.54	0.65	0.63	0.13	1.33
Bignoniaceae	5	3	63	1.09	3.27	3.46	0.74	5.10
Bombacaceae	1	1	6	0.10	0.65	0.67	0.14	0.90

Family	Species richness	Genera richness	No. of Individuals	FR Den	FR Diver	Basal area	FR Dom	FIV
Boraginaceae	3	2	38	0.66	1.96	2.44	0.52	3.14
Burseraceae	1	2	70	1.21	0.65	5.59	1.19	3.06
Caesalpiniaceae	4	3	149	2.58	2.61	16.72	3.56	8.76
Capparidaceae	2	1	20	0.35	1.31	1.84	0.39	2.05
Caprifoliaceae	1	1	1	0.02	0.65	0.22	0.05	0.72
Celastraceae	4	3	80	1.39	2.61	4.81	1.02	5.03
Clusiaceae	1	1	10	0.17	0.65	0.1	0.02	0.85
Cochlospermaceae	1	1	3	0.05	0.65	0.46	0.10	0.80
Combretaceae	5	2	742	12.87	3.27	53.65	11.42	27.56
Cycadaceae	1	1	13	0.23	0.65	0.26	0.06	0.93
Dipterocarpaceae	2	1	285	4.94	1.31	21.35	4.55	10.80
Ebenaceae	4	2	302	5.24	2.61	6.25	1.33	9.18
Elaeocarpaceae	1	1	14	0.24	0.65	0.29	0.06	0.96
Erythroxylaceae	1	1	86	1.49	0.65	7.18	1.53	3.67
Euphorbiaceae	10	7	173	3.00	6.54	11.04	2.35	11.89
Fabaceae	7	6	364	6.31	4.58	31.05	6.61	17.50
Flacourtiaceae	2	2	91	1.58	1.31	2.38	0.51	3.39
Lecythidaceae	1	1	31	0.54	0.65	4.19	0.89	2.08
Loganiaceae	2	1	109	1.89	1.31	8.45	1.80	5.00
Malphigiaceae	1	1	6	0.10	0.65	0.07	0.01	0.77
Melastomataceae	2	1	55	0.95	1.31	3.59	0.76	3.03
Meliaceae	3	3	87	1.51	1.96	3.3	0.70	4.17
Mimosaceae	13	4	513	8.90	8.50	31	6.60	23.99
Moraceae	6	2	89	1.54	3.92	71.53	15.23	20.69
Myrisinaceae	1	1	22	0.38	0.65	0.1	0.02	1.06
Myrtaceae	6	2	273	4.73	3.92	51.98	11.07	19.72
Ochnaceae	3	1	197	3.42	1.96	1.83	0.39	5.77
Oleaceae	2	2	72	1.25	1.31	3.58	0.76	3.32
Pittosporaceae	1	1	5	0.09	0.65	0.25	0.05	0.79
Rhamnaceae	4	3	33	0.57	2.61	0.95	0.20	3.39

Family	Species richness	Genera richness	No. of Individuals	FR Den	FR Diver	Basal area	FR Dom	ΛH
Rubiaceae	12	9	473	8.20	7.84	27.56	5.87	21.91
Rutaceae	6	5	264	4.58	3.92	13.61	2.90	11.40
Salicaceae	1	1	5	0.09	0.65	0.3	0.06	0.80
Santalaceae	1	1	83	1.44	0.65	0.59	0.13	2.22
Sapindaceae	4	4	49	0.85	2.61	4.65	0.99	4.45
Sapotaceae	3	3	49	0.85	1.96	3.76	0.80	3.61
Simaroubaceae	1	1	10	0.17	0.65	1.22	0.26	1.09
Sterculiaceae	3	3	51	0.88	1.96	6.23	1.33	4.17
Tiliaceae	1	1	19	0.33	0.65	0.56	0.12	1.10
Ulmaceae	1	1	8	0.14	0.65	0.67	0.14	0.93
Verbenaceae	4	4	115	1.99	2.61	13.38	2.85	7.46
Total	153	109	5766			469.71		

Discussion

Biodiversity of an area is related to a variety of factors such as topography, climate, soil and biotic disturbance. Tree species inventories at defined study sites and in minimum diameter classes give a reliable instrument to indicate the diversity level of a study site (Wattenberg and Breckle, 1995). One of the characteristic features of Tropical forests is high species richness (Ayyappan and Parthasarathy, 1999).

Although there are some studies on structure and dynamics of vegetation in the semi-evergreen and moist deciduous forests in the various parts of Eastern Ghats e.g. Shervarayan hills (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999a), Kalarayan hills (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999b), Kolli hills (Chittibabu and Parthasarathy, 2000a, b), there is no such previous study on vegetation structure in the dry deciduous forests of Eastern Ghats.

The species richness of 153 tree species in 10.25 ha of sampled area of tropical dry deciduous forest in Bannerghatta National Park, shows its high species diversity status. The species richness of the park is very high when compared to semi-evergreen forests of Eastern Ghats, i.e.,



Mapping of some important woody plants

Shervarayan hills which has 70 tree species (excluding lianas) in 4 ha (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999a), Kalarayan hills with 73 species in 4 ha (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999b) and Kolli hills with 78 species (Chittibabu and Parthasarathy, 2000).

The stand density of the present study is 570.67 stems ha⁻². Shervarayan and Kalrayan hills of Eastern Ghats, respectively, stocked a range of 640 to 986 trees ha⁻¹ (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999a), 367 to 667 trees ha⁻¹ (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999b) and 266 to 632 trees ha⁻¹ (Chittibabu and Parthasarathy, 2000). The Stand density of the present study site is lesser than the other sites of Semi-evergreen forests of Eastern Ghats.

The mean stand basal area of the present study site is 44.83 (m² ha⁻²), whereas the mean basal area was 34.9 m² ha⁻¹ in Shervarayan hills and 33.7 m² ha⁻¹ in Kalrayan hills (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999b), 43.6 m² ha⁻² in Kolli hills (Chittibabu and Parthasarathy, 2000). Thus, the mean basal area of the Bannerghatta National Park is on par with the other forests of Eastern Ghats.

Since the study site is a dry deciduous forest, the familial composition is entirely varied when compared to the semi- evergreen forests of Eastern Ghats. At the family level, Mimosaceae is the well-represented family with 13 species dominating the forest canopy, followed by Rubiaceae (12 species) and Euphorbiaceae (10 species) in the present study area. Moraceae (10 species), Lauraceae (9), and Euphorbiaceae (7) were the most speciose families in the tropical evergreen forest of Kolli hills (Chittibabu and Parthasarathy, 2000), while in the adjacent Shervarayan hills Euphorbiaceae (8 species) and Rubiaceae (5 species) were most speciose (Kadavul and Parthasarathy, 1999a).

References

- Ayyappan, N. and Parthasarathy, N. (1999). Biodiversity inventory of trees in a large-scale permanent plot of tropical evergreen forest at Varagalaiar, Anamalais, Western Ghats, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 8: 1533–1554.
- Champion, H.G. and Seth, S.K. (1968). A revised survey of forest types of India. Publication Division, New Delhi.
- Chittibabu, C.V. and Parthasarathy, N. (2000). Attenuated tree species diversity in human-impacted tropical evergreen forest sites at Kolli hills, Eastern Ghats, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 9: 1493–1519.
- Colwell, R.K. (1994-2004). EstimateS: Statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. Version 7. Persistent URL <purl.oclc.org/estimates>.
- Curtis, J.T. and Mcintosh, R.P. (1950). The interrelations of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological characters. *Ecology* 31: 434-455.
- Gamble, J.S. and Fischer, C.E.C. (1915-1936). The Flora of the Presidency of Madras. Part 1-11. (Part 1-7 by Gamble and 8-11 by Fischer). Adlard and Sons Ltd., London. (repr. ed. Vols. 1-3. 1957).
- Henry, A.N., Chitra, V. and Balakrishnan, N.P. (1989). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India. Ser. 1: Analysis. Vol. 3. Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore.
- Henry, A.N., Kumari, G.R. and Chitra, V. (1987). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India. Ser. 1: Analysis. Vol. 2. Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore.
- Hooker, J.D. (1897). The Flora of British India. Vols. 1-7. Reeve and Co., London.
- Kadavul, K. and Parthasarathy, N. (1999a). Plant biodiversity and conservation of tropical semi-evergreen forest in the Shervarayan hills of Eastern Ghats, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 8: 421–439.
- Kadavul, K. and Parthasarathy, N. (1999b). Structure and composition of woody species in tropical semievergreen forest of Kalrayan hills, Eastern Ghats, India. *Tropical Ecology* 40: 77–90.
- Matthew, K.M. (1996). Illustrations on the Flora of the Palni Hills, South India. The Rapinat Herbarium, St. Joseph's College, Tiruchirappalli.
- Matthew, K.M. (1999). The Flora of the Palni Hills, South India. The Rapinat Herbarium, St. Joseph's College, Tiruchirappalli.
- Mori, S.A., Boom, B.M., De Carvalho, A.M. and Dos Santos, T.S. (1983). Southern Bahian Moist Forests. *The Botanical Review* 49: 155-232.
- Nair, N.C. and Henry, A.N. (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India, Ser. 1: Analysis Vol. 1. Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore.
- Philips, E.A. (1959). Methods of vegetation study. Henry Holt and Co., New York.
- Wattenberg, I. and Breckle, S. (1995). Tree species diversity of a premontne rain forest in the Cordillera de Tilaren, Costa Rica. *Biotropica* 1: 21–30

Appendix 2.1

Checklist of all the woody plant species in the Bannerghatta National Park

Sl. No.	Name of the species	Family	Habitat
1.	Acacia auriculiformis	Mimosaceae	Tree
2.	Acacia caesia	Mimosaceae	Straggler
3.	Acacia chundra	Mimosaceae	Tree
4.	Acacia farnesiana	Mimosaceae	Tree
5.	Acacia ferruginea	Mimosaceae	Tree
6.	Acacia leucophloea	Mimosaceae	Tree
7.	Acacia nilotica	Mimosaceae	Tree
8.	Acacia polyacantha	Mimosaceae	Tree
9.	<i>Acacia</i> sp.	Mimosaceae	Tree
10.	Acacia torta	Mimosaceae	Straggler
11.	Aegle marmelos	Rutaceae	Tree
12.	Ailanthus excelsa	Simaroubaceae	Tree
13.	Alangium salviifolium**	Alangiaceae	Tree
14.	Albizia amara	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
15.	Albizia lebbeck	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
16.	Albizia odoratissima	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
17.	Allophyllus serratus	Sapindaceae	Shrub
18.	Anacardium occidentale	Anacardiaceae	Tree
19.	Annona reticulata	Annonaceae	Tree
20.	Annona squamosa	Annonaceae	Shrub
21.	Anogeissus latifolia	Combretaceae	Tree
22.	Ardisia solanacea	Myrsinaceae	Shrub
23.	Atalantia monophylla	Rutaceae	Tree
24.	Atalantia racemosa	Rutaceae	Tree
25.	Azadirachta indica**	Meliaceae	Tree
26.	Balanites aegyptiaca	Balanitaceae	Tree
27.	Bassia latifolia	Sapotaceae	Tree
28.	Bauhinia purpurea	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
29.	Bauhinia racemosa	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
30.	Benkara malabarica	Rutaceae	Shrub
31.	Bombax malabaricum	Bombacaceae	Tree
32.	Boswellia ovalifoliolata*	Burseraceae	Tree

Sl. No.	Name of the species	Family	Habitat
33.	Boswellia serrata	Burseraceae	Tree
34.	Breynia vitis-idaea	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
35.	Bridelia crenulata**	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
36.	Bridelia retusa	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
37.	Buchanania axillaris	Anacardiaceae	Tree
38.	Buchanania lanzan	Anacardiaceae	Tree
39.	Butea monosperma	Fabaceae	Tree
40.	Butea parviflora	Fabaceae	Liana
41.	Callicarpa tomentosa**	Verbenaceae	Shrub
42.	Cansjeera rheedii	Opeliaceae	Liana
43.	Canthium dicoccum**	Rubiaceae	Tree
44.	Capparis grandis	Capparidaceae	Tree
45.	<i>Capparis sepiaria</i>	Capparidaceae	Straggler
46.	Capparis zeylanica	Capparidaceae	Straggler
47.	Careya arborea	Lecythidaceae	Tree
48.	Carissa carandas**	Apocynaceae	Straggler
49.	Carissa spinarum**	Apocynaceae	Straggler
50.	Carmona retusa	Boraginaceae	Shrub
51.	Casearia tomentosa	Flacourtiaceae	Tree
52.	Cassia fistula	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
53.	Cassia siamea	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
54.	Cassine glauca**	Celastraceae	Tree
55.	Cassine paniculata**	Celastraceae	Tree
56.	Celastrus paniculatus	Celastraceae	Liana
57.	Chloroxylon swietenia	Rutaceae	Tree
58.	Chrysophyllum roxburghii	Sapotaceae	Tree
59.	Chukrasia tabularis	Meliaceae	Tree
60.	Cipadessa baccifera	Meliaceae	Shrub
61.	Clausena dentata	Rutaceae	Shrub
62.	Cleistanthus collinus	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
63.	Cochlospermum religiosum	Cochlospermaceae	Tree
64.	Commiphora berryi	Burseraceae	Shrub
65.	Commiphora caudata	Burseraceae	Tree
66.	Cordia monoica**	Boraginaceae	Tree
67.	Cordia obliqua**	Boraginaceae	Tree
68.	Cordia sp.	Boraginaceae	Tree
69.	Cordia wallichii**	Boraginaceae	Tree
70.	Crateva roxburghii	Capparidaceae	Tree
71.	Cycas circinalis*	Cycadaceae	Tree
72.	Dalbergia latifolia	Fabaceae	Tree

Sl. No.	Name of the species	Family	Habitat
73.	Dalbergia paniculata	Fabaceae	Tree
74.	Dalbergia sissoo	Fabaceae	Tree
75.	Decalepis hamiltonii*	Asclepiadaceae	Liana
76.	Derris scandens	Fabaceae	Liana
77.	Dichrostachys cinerea	Mimosaceae	Shrub
78.	Diospyros chloroxylon	Ebenaceae	Tree
79.	Diospyros melanoxylon	Ebenaceae	Tree
80.	Diospyros montana**	Ebenaceae	Tree
81.	Dolichandrone arcuata	Bignoniaceae	Tree
82.	Dolichandrone atrovirens	Bignoniaceae	Tree
83.	Drypetes roxburghii*	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
84.	Drypetes sepiaria	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
85.	<i>Ehretia laevis**</i>	Boraginaceae	Tree
86.	Ehretia ovalifolia**	Boraginaceae	Tree
87.	<i>Ehretia pubescens**</i>	Boraginaceae	Tree
88.	Elaeocarpus serratus	Elaeocarpaceae	Tree
89.	Eriolaena hookeriana*	Sterculiaceae	Tree
90.	Erythrina indica	Fabaceae	Tree
91.	Erythrina stricta	Fabaceae	Tree
92.	Erythroxylum monogynum	Erythroxylaceae	Shrub
93.	Eucalyptus globulus	Myrtaceae	Tree
94.	Eucalyptus tereticornis	Myrtaceae	Tree
95.	Euphorbia antiquorum	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
96.	Euphorbia tortilis	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
97.	Feronia elephantum	Rutaceae	Tree
98.	Ficus amplissima**	Moraceae	Tree
99.	Ficus benghalensis**	Moraceae	Tree
100.	Ficus drupacea**	Moraceae	Tree
101.	Ficus hispida	Moraceae	Tree
102.	Ficus microcarpa**	Moraceae	Tree
103.	Ficus mollis**	Moraceae	Tree
104.	Ficus racemosa**	Moraceae	Tree
105.	Ficus religiosa**	Moraceae	Tree
106.	<i>Ficus tinctoria</i> ssp. <i>parasitica**</i>	Moraceae	Tree
107.	Ficus tsjakela**	Moraceae	Tree
108.	<i>Ficus virens**</i>	Moraceae	Tree
109.	Filicium decipiens**	Sapindaceae	Tree
110.	Firmiana colorata	Sterculiaceae	Tree
111.	Flacourtia indica**	Flacourtiaceae	Tree

Sl. No.	Name of the species	Family	Habitat
112.	Fluggea leucopyrus	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
113.	Fluggea virosa	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
114.	Gardenia gummifera	Rubiaceae	Shrub
115.	Garuga pinnata	Burseraceae	Tree
116.	Givotia moluccana	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
117.	Gliricidia sepium	Fabaceae	Tree
118.	Glochidion bourdillonii*	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
119.	Glycosmis cochinchinensis	Rutaceae	Shrub
120.	Gmelina arborea	Verbenaceae	Tree
121.	Gmelina asiatica	Verbenaceae	Tree
122.	Grewia abutilifolia	Tiliaceae	Tree
123.	Grewia tiliifolia**	Tiliaceae	Tree
124.	Gyrocarpus americanus	Hernandiaceae	Tree
125.	Hardwickia binata*	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
126.	Helicteres isora	Sterculiaceae	Shrub
127.	Hiptage benghalensis	Malphigiaceae	Liana
128.	Holarrhena antidysenterica	Apocynaceae	Shrub
129.	Holoptelea integrifolia	Ulmaceae	Tree
130.	Hugonia mystax	Linaceae	Straggler
131.	Ixora arborea**	Rubiaceae	Tree
132.	Kydia calycina	Malvaceae	Tree
133.	Lannea coromandelica	Anacardiaceae	Tree
134.	Lantana camara**	Verbenaceae	Shrub
135.	Lepisanthes tetraphylla	Sapindaceae	Tree
136.	Linociera malabarica*	Oleaceae	Tree
137.	Loseneeriella obtusifolia	Hippocratiaceae	Liana
138.	Maba buxifolia*	Ebenaceae	Tree
139.	Maclura spinosa	Moraceae	Straggler
140.	Madhuca longifolia	Sapotaceae	Tree
141.	Mallotus philippensis	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
142.	Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae	Tree
143.	Maytanus emarginata	Celastraceae	Shrub
144.	Melia azaderach	Meliaceae	Tree
145.	Melia dubia	Meliaceae	Tree
146.	Memecylon edule	Melastomataceae	Shrub
147.	Memecylon umbellatum	Melastomataceae	Shrub
148.	Millingtonia hortensis	Bignoniaceae	Tree
149.	Mimusops elengii**	Sapotaceae	Tree
150.	Mitragyna parvifolia	Rubiaceae	Tree
151.	Morinda tinctoria	Rubiaceae	Tree

Sl. No.	Name of the species	Family	Habitat
152.	Murraya paniculata	Rutaceae	Shrub
153.	Naringi crenulata**	Rutaceae	Tree
154.	Nothopeia beddomei*	Anacardiaceae	Tree
155.	Ochna gambleii*	Ochnaceae	Tree
156.	Ochna lanceolata	Ochnaceae	Tree
157.	Ochna obtusata*	Ochnaceae	Tree
158.	Olea dioica	Oleaceae	Tree
159.	Ozyris wightiana	Santalaceae	Shrub
160.	Pavetta indica	Rubiaceae	Shrub
161.	Pavetta montana	Rubiaceae	Shrub
162.	Pavetta tomentosa	Rubiaceae	Shrub
163.	Phyllanthus emblica	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
164.	Phyllanthus indofischerii*	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
165.	Phyllanthus polyphyllus	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
166.	Pittosporum floribundum**	Pittosporaceae	Tree
167.	Plecospermum spinosum	Moraceae	Straggler
168.	Pleiospermium alatum	Rutaceae	Tree
169.	Polyalthia cerasoides**	Annonaceae	Tree
170.	Pongamia pinnata	Fabaceae	Tree
171.	Premna tomentosa**	Verbenaceae	Tree
172.	Prosopis juliflora	Mimosaceae	Tree
173.	Pterocarpus marsupium	Fabaceae	Tree
174.	Randia brandisii	Rubiaceae	Shrub
175.	Randia dumetorum	Rubiaceae	Shrub
176.	Randia spinosa	Rubiaceae	Shrub
177.	Saccopetalum tomentosum	Annonaceae	Tree
178.	Salix tetrasperma	Salicaceae	Tree
179.	Santalum album	Santalaceae	Tree
180.	Sapindus emarginatus	Sapindaceae	Tree
181.	Schefflera stellata**	Aaliaceae	Scandent
182.	Schleichera oleosa**	Sapindaceae	Tree
183.	Semecarpus anacardium	Anacardiaceae	Tree
184.	Shorea roxburghii*	Dipterocarpaceae	Tree
185.	Shorea tumbaggaia*	Dipterocarpaceae	Tree
186.	Sterculia urens	Sterculiaceae	Tree
187.	Stereospermum colais	Bignoniaceae	Tree
188.	Stereospermum personatum	Bignoniaceae	Tree
189.	Streblus asper**	Moraceae	Tree
190.	Strychnos nux-vomica	Loganiaceae	Tree
191.	Strychnos potatorum**	Loganiaceae	Tree

Sl. No.	Name of the species	of the species Family				
192.	Syzygium alternifolium*	Myrtaceae	Tree			
193.	Syzygium cumini**	Myrtaceae	Tree			
194.	Syzygium jambos**	Myrtaceae	Tree			
195.	Syzygium operculatum*	Myrtaceae	Tree			
196.	Tamarindus indica	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree			
197.	Tarenna asiatica	Rubiaceae	Shrub			
198.	Tecoma stans	Bignoniaceae	Shrub			
199.	Tectona granids	Verbenaceae	Shrub			
200.	Terminalia arjuna	Combretaceae	Tree			
201.	Terminalia bellirica	Combretaceae	Tree			
202.	Terminalia chebula	Combretaceae	Tree			
203.	Terminalia crenulata*	Combretaceae	Tree			
204.	Terminalia tomentosa	Combretaceae	Tree			
205.	Thespesia populnea	Malvaceae	Tree			
206.	Toddalia asiatica	Rutaceae	Straggler			
207.	Trema orientalis**	Ulmaceae	Tree			
208.	Ventilago goughii*	Rhamnaceae	Straggler			
209.	Ventilago maderaspatana	Rhamnaceae	Straggler			
210.	Vitex altissima**	Verbenaceae	Tree			
211.	Vitex negundo	Verbenaceae	Tree			
212.	Wendlandia lawii*	Rubiaceae	Shrub			
213.	Wendlandia thyrsoidea	Rubiaceae	Shrub			
214.	Wrightia arborea	Apocynaceae	Tree			
215.	Wrightia tinctoria	Apocynaceae	Tree			
216.	Ziziphus mauritiana**	Rhamnaceae	Tree			
217.	Ziziphus oenoplia**	Rhamnaceae	Straggler			
218.	Ziziphus trinervia**	Rhamnaceae	Tree			
219.	Ziziphus xylopyrus	Rhamnaceae	Tree			
* Endemic plants; ** Fleshy fruit yielding plants.						



a. Cycas circinalis-An endangered species., **b**. Carea arborea- An important medicinal plant in the study area., **c**. Ardisia solanacea-A rare species in the study area



a. *Glochidion bourdillonii*-Is a rare and endemic species., **b**. *Firmiana colorata*-One of the rare species in the study area., **c**. *Syzygium alternifolium*-Is a rare and endemic species.

Chapter 3 Occurrence and abundance of birds in BNP

Introduction

Birds are regarded as one of the natural indicators of an ecosystem and their role as natural seed dispersers is very important. Most of the wood plant species are dispersed by birds (Corlett, 1998). Hence it is very important to understand the ecology and monitor the avian species in any given area. In India, the distribution and occurrence of bird species is well established on large spatial scales. Naturalists and amateur bird watchers have been reporting rare sightings in different regions on regular scale, which is helping in understanding the distribution limits of birds. However, density estimates and population monitoring of birds are not available for most of the parks or regions. In the present study we estimate the density of birds for Bannerghatta National Park.

Methods

The details of the park and overlaying of the grids is provided in Chapter 1. We followed fixed radius point sampling to estimate bird density. In each grid, at every 200 m, a point was established. Each sampling point was replicated thrice for the bird count. Bird counts were carried out in the early morning hours and during evening hours. At each point, the observer stood for few seconds, and then the birds which were within 15 m radius were recorded with species identity and number of individuals. The observation period was 10 min at each point. The data from all the points from temporal replication was added and treated as one sample. Since the present exercise was of fixed width, the density estimates were calculated for each grid or each species by adding the counts. Bird density is projected as the number of birds per hectare. Nomenclature of Grimmet and Inskipp (1998) was followed for birds.

Results

A total of 178 species of birds belonging to 54 families were recorded during the assessment, of which 145 species were recorded during the point count (Appendix 3.1). Among the 178 species reported 148 were residents while the remaining 30 were local migrants (Fig. 3.1). The density was estimated for 145 species and the estimates varied between 0.05 and 23.15 birds/ha for different bird species. Amongst the various species, open forest preferring species such as red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* (23.15 birds/ha), yellow-billed babbler *Turdoides affinis* (13.92 birds/ha) and red-whiskered bulbul *Pycnonotus jocosus* (12.97 birds/ha) were in the high density, while red spur-fowl *Galloperdix spadicea*, white-eyed buzzard *Butastur teesa*, recorded the lowest density (0.05 birds/ha) for resident birds. Among the local migrants verditer flycatcher *Muscicapa thalassina* had density of 0.05 birds/ha and Blyth's reed-warbler *Acrocephalus dumetorum* (0.11 birds/ha), recorded the lowest density, while green bee-eater (*Merops orientalis*) had the highest density (10.51 birds/ha).



Figure 3.1 Number of resident and local migrant birds recorded in Bannerghatta National Park

The micro habitat occupancy of the bird species varied and was classified broadly into five types (Fig. 3.2). The species were grouped where they were recorded the most, for instances if a species was recorded in trees and also in bushes, the species would be categorized based on where they were seen the most. Among the 178 species 84 were arboreal species constituting 47.19 %, , while wetland accounted for 33 species (18.53%), bush dwellers accounted for 34 species (19.01%), 16 species were ground

dwellers constituting 8.98% and 11 species preferred the rocky areas (including revierine) constituting 6.17%.



Figure 3.2 Habitat preferences by bird species

The birds were grouped based on their feeding guilds. Broadly, birds were grouped under eight categories depending on their diet (Fig. 3.3). Overall 113 (49%) species were insectivorous, 29 (13%) frugivorous, 23 (10%) granivorous, 09 (4%) nectarivoure, 21 (9%) carnivorous birds (birds of prey), 19 (8%) piscivorous (including other aquatic insects and vertebrates) and 8 (4%) species each for omnivores and birds feeding on vegetative matter.

Mixed flocks: Foraging in mixed flocks is common in many species of birds; however the precise reason for this behavior depends on various factors in a given condition. The adaptive hypothesis for the evolution of flocking in birds have usually focused on predation avoidance or foraging enhancement (Guy Beauchamp, 2004). During our survey we regularly recorded feeding parties with six to eight species feeding on fig trees and nectar of flame of the forest trees; and on occasions they were seen just flocking together on trees or in shady areas, however on one occasion we recorded 15 species flocking on flame of the forest tree. The commoners in the feeding parties were the red-whiskered bulbul, red-vented bulbul, yellow-billed babbler, purple-rumped sunbird, purple sunbird, flower

peckers, barbets, rosy starling, jungle myna, small minivet, blue-winged leafbird, lesser whitethroat and verditer flycatcher.



Figure 3.3 Number of bird species under feeding guilds

To explore which factors in the park determine the bird richness and bird density, we estimated the bird richness and density according to the grids. Covariates measured in each grid are provided in Table 3.1. Bird richness ($X^2 = 73.318$, p > .000) and bird density ($X^2 = 420.809$, p > .000) varied significantly between the grids. We ran a Pearson correlation test to see covariates which are influencing the richness and density on spatial scale in Bannerghatta National Park. The covariates were tree density, basal area and average NDVI values as the major forest parameters, and stumps/ lopping rate and dung density of livestock were considered as the disturbance factors. Pearson correlation shows that none of the listed covariates were significantly related to bird richness or bird density on spatial scale, moreover though the relation was not significant but tree density and NDVI were negatively correlated to bird richness and bird density (Table 3.2).

Comparison between the different surveys:

The management plan of the park has a checklist of birds which was provided by Zoological Survey of India (ZSI) that reports 222 species of birds for the park (Management Plan). While Karthikeyan and 36

Grid No.	Bird richness	Bird density	Tree Density	Basal area	Stumps/ lopping	Livestock dung density	Mean NDVI
0	56	352.11	557.71	49.46	0	46.29	0.036481099
1	47	213.66	656.00	66.91	104.16	201.38	0.096553695
10	23	172.53	611.20	26.91	0	0	0.179754871
11	41	419.01	467.20	48.29	31.25	83.33	0.125861932
13	33	198.59	617.14	32.18	383.33	50.00	0.24768177
19	36	235.35	704.00	54.37	130.95	59.52	0.18074518
2	47	294.36	566.66	69.12	183.33	150.00	0.130313731
22	49	263.38	574.40	52.46	0	8.33	0.185032387
23	53	219.43	585.60	53.22	39.47	35.08	0.21186912
24	44	218.30	672.00	46.50	34.72	6.94	0.188507472
31	51	235.07	580.00	56.96	64.10	57.69	0.17654732
33	72	226.05	510.00	41.44	230.15	99.20	0.101057711
34	27	148.87	430.00	44.24	59.52	47.61	0.161276033
36	45	325.63	442.66	39.84	208.33	218.75	0.139135101
37	33	154.92	624.00	28.53	55.55	22,22	0.165628462
38	30	221.26	582.40	19.01	83.33	130.95	0.122310609
43	53	235.07	488.00	47.96	93.75	41.66	0.192242309
44	52	266.33	508.00	32.79	60.60	106.06	0.217107866
45	27	222.53	595.20	28.19	266.66	166.66	0.233431885
6	55	326.76	550.85	52.78	308.33	741.66	0.190707965
7	58	245.35	644.57	38.79	48.61	173.61	0.239022743
8	51	267.60	672.00	45.37	388.88	145.83	0.149799168
9	34	122.95	486.40	55.73	0	68.18	0.175647938

Table 3.1 Bird richness and density in different grids and valuesof covariates

Table 3.2 Test value (Person correlation- r^2) for each covariate(none of them were significant)

	Tree density	Basal area	Stumps/ lopping	Livestock dung density	Mean NDVI
Bird richness	063	.406	.097	.247	227
Bird Density	197	.229	.130	.362	350

Sunilkumar (2005) reported 203 species of birds from a year long survey in 2005, we were able to record 178 species during our short survey of four months. Though there are small patches of moist deciduous forests along the riverine and seasonal water streams, the park does not have good wet forests. However, many species reported by ZSI are habitat specialists and many of them are known to occur mostly in forests of Western Ghats e.g. mountain imperial pigeon (Ducula badia), Malabar whistling thrush (*Myiophonus horsfieldii*), grey-breasted laughing thrush (Garrulax jerdoni), crimson-backed sunbird (Nectarinia minima), greyheaded canary flycatcher (Culicicapa ceylonensis), bar- winged flycatcher-shrike (Hemipus picatus), dark-fronted babbler (Rhopocichla atriceps), brown-cheeked fulvetta (Alcippe poioicephala), grey-headed bulbul (Pycnonotus priocephalus), yellow-browed bulbul (Lole indica) and lesser coucal (*Centropus bengalensis*), their presence in this habitat is very doubtful and needs further evidence. Another species, the greenbilled malkoha (Phaenicophaeus viridirostris) known to have distribution from central to North eastern states has been reported from the park. This shows that there may be an error in listing the bird species of Bannerghatta by Zoological Survey of India or species might have disappeared from the park. Further, vulture species like the whiterumped Vulture (Gyps bengalensis), long-billed vulture (Gyps indicus) and red-headed vulture (Sarcogyps calvus) have been reported by ZSI and Karthikevan and Sunilkumar (2005); however during our survey, we were not able to sight any of them. Our interviews with forest department personnel reveled that these species have not been sighted for at least the past five to six years.

Discussion

Bannerghatta national park is a potential habitat for avifauna. Zoological Survey of India has reported 222 species of birds, while Karthikeyan and Sunilkumar (2005) reported 203 species of birds from a year long survey in 2005. We were able to record 178 species during our short study period of four months. Estimating the density of some nocturnal birds like owls and night jars, and some of the ground dwelling birds was difficult. Such species require exclusive technique to estimate them. Thus, the present estimate is very general and covers only the species that we were able to sight during the day walks. The national park has a good diversity of trees







Mapping of some important bird species

which provide ample food sources in the form of fruits. Other than the fruits they also play host to many insects at different strata which the birds feed on. We expected that lesser disturbance level and high density of trees would influence more number of bird species and also density. Surprisingly, the relationship was insignificant. This is probably because the entire park is very narrow in shape, and also human disturbance was observed in the entire park which makes the uniformity in the habitat at both suitable habitat available and also disturbance level. Thus the relationship between any of the covariates with bird richness and bird density was not significant. Bulbuls (i.e. red-vented bulbul and red-whiskered bulbul) are the most dominant species in the park, and they are habitat generalists. Further, their high density may be attributed to the presence of large extent of lantana cover all around the national park, which these species use as foraging and nesting grounds. However, the increase in Lantana density benefits only few species, leading to greater homogeneity with general decline in diversity (Aravind et al. 2010). As we have recorded most of the local migrant species in lower densities.

The park is recognized as one of the important bird area (Birdlife International, 2011). Darter (*Anhinga melanogaster*), black-headed ibis (*Threskiornis melanocephalous*) and black ibis (*Pseuidbis papillosa*) which are considered as near threatened species were recorded from the tanks found in the park. Endangered long billed vulture (*Gyps indicus*) and vulnerable yellow throated bulbul (*Pycnonotus xantholaemus*) which were reported here earlier have not been sighted during our survey, especially the former has not been sighted for the past six years.

However, continuous mining around the periphery of the forest and other illegal activities hamper bird movements and reduce the roosting site of many raptors which prefer rocky outcrop. Critical interventions with regard to management and conservation of the park can further attract many rare birds and make the habitat suitable for many resident birds for longer survival. The park is situated very close to one of the fastest emerging cosmopolitan cities in the world, thus monitoring of birds will throw light on the impact of expansion of cities and also effectiveness of management.

References

- Aravind, N.A., Dinesh Rao., Vanaraj, G., Ganeshaiah, K.N., Uma Shaanker, R. and Poulsen, J.G. (2010). Impact of the invasive plant Lantana camara on birds assemblages at Male Mahadeshwara Reserve Forest, South India. *Tropical Ecology* 51 (2S): 325-338
- Birdlife International (2011). Important Bird Areas factsheets: Bannerghata National Park. Downloaded from http://www.birlife.org. on 01.06.2011
- Corlett, R.T. (1998). Frugivory and seed dispersal by birds in Hong Kong shrubland. *Forktail* 13: 23-27
- Grimmett, R., Inskipp, C. and Inskipp, T. (1998). *Guide to the Birds of Indian subcontinent*. Christopher Helm. ISBN 0-691-04910-6.

Guy Beauchamp (2004). Reduced flocking by birds on islands with relaxed predation. *Proceedings of Royal Society London. B* 271: 1039-1042
Karthikeyaneyan, S. and Sunil Kumar, M. (2005). Faunal survey of Bannerghatta National Park. Sumitted to Karnataka Forest Deaprtment, Bangalore.

Appendix 3.1

Comparative Checklist of birds of Bannerghatta National Park

	1	1	1	1	1
Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
Family: Podicipitidae					
1. Little Grebe (Tachybaptus ruficollis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.559
Family:Pelecanidae					
2. Spot-Billed Pelican (Pelecanus philippensis)	NR	NR	Р		
Family:Phalacrocoracidae					
3. Little Cormorant (Phalacrocorax niger)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.335
4. Indian Cormorant (Phalacrocorax fuscicollis)	Р	NR	Р	R	
5. Great Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>)	Р	NR	Р	C/R/B	0.111
Family: Anhingidae					
6. Darter (Anhingamelanogaster)	Р	NR	Р	r/R	0.167
Family: Ardeidae					
7. Grey Heron (Ardea cinerea)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.335
8. Purple Heron (Ardea purpurea)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.223
9. Little Heron (<i>Butorides striatus</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
10. Indian Pond Heron (Ardeola grayii)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	1.286
11. Cattle Egret(<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.391
12. Great Egret (Casmerodius albus)	Р	NR	Р	r/R	0.111
13. Intermediate Egret (Mesophoyx intermedia)	Р	NR	Р	r/R	0.165
14. Little Egret (<i>Egretta garzetta</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.503
15. Black Crowned Night Heron (<i>Nycticorax</i> <i>nycticorax</i>)	С	Р	Р	r/R	0.055
Family: Ciconiidae					
16.Painted Stork (Mycteria leucocephala)	Р	Р	Р	C/LM	0.111
17. Openbill Stork (Anastomus oscitans)	Р	Р	NR	r/LM	
18. Woolly-necked Stork (Ciconia episcopus)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.223
Family: Threskiornithidae					
19. Blackheaded Ibis (<i>Threskiornis melanocephalous</i>)	Р	NR	Р	C/LM	0.838
20. Black Ibis (<i>Pseuidbis papillosa</i>)	Р	NR	NR	r/LM	0.111

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
21.Eurasian Spoonbill (<i>Plataea leucorodia</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Anatidae					
21. Lesser Whistling-Duck (Dendrocygna javanica)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	
22. Spot-billed Duck (Anas poecilorhyncha)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	
23. Northern Pintail (Anas acuta)	NR	NR	Р		
24. Common Teal (Anas crecca)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Accipitridae					
25. Black Shouldered Kite (Elanus caeruleus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.165
26. Black Kite (Milvus migrans)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.068
27. Brahminy Kite (Haliastur indus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.279
28. Shikra (Accipiter badius)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.615
29. Twany Eagle (Aquila rapax)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	
30. Eurasian Marsh Harrier (Circus aeruginosus)	Р	NR	NR	r/LM	0.055
31. Crested Serpent Eagle (Spilornis cheela)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.503
32. Oriental Honey Buzzard (Pernis ptilorhyncus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	
33. Short-toed snake eagle (Circaetus gallicus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.111
34. Booted Eagle (Hieraaetus pennatus)	Р	Р	NR	r/LM	
35. Bonellis Eagle (Hieraaetus fasciatus)	Р	Р	NR	C/R	0.615
36. Changeable Hawk Eagle (Spizaetus cirrhatus)	Р	Р	NR	C/R	0.111
37. Black Eagle (Ictinaetus malayensis)	NR	Р	Р		
38. White-eyed buzzard (Butastur teesa)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.055
39. Greater Spotted Eagle (Aquila clanga)	NR	NR	Р		
40. Montagu's Harrier (Circus pygargus)	NR	Р	NR		
41. White-Rumped Vulture (Gyps bengalensis)	NR	Р	Р		
42. Long-billed Vulture (Gyps indicus)	NR	NR	Р		
43. Egyptian Vulture (Neophron percnopterus)	Р	Р	Р		
44. Red-headed Vulture (Sarcogyps calvus)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Falconidae					
45. Common Kestral (Falco tinnunculus)	Р	NR	Р	C/LM	0.559
46. Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus)	NR	Р	NR		
47. Eurasian Hobby (<i>Falco subbuteo</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Phasianidae					
48. Grey Francolin (Francolinus pondicerianus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.236
49. Common Quail <i>(Coturnix coturnix)</i>	Р	NR	Р	C/R/B	0.273

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
50. Rain Quail (<i>Coturnix coromandelica</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
51. Blue-Breasted Quail (Coturnix chinensis)	NR	Р	NR		
52. Jungle Bush Quail (<i>Perdicula asiatica</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
53. Painted Bush Quail (Perdicula erythrorhyncha)	NR	Р	Р		
54. Grey jungle fowl (Gallus sonneratii)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.739
55. Red Spurfowl (Galloperdix spadicea)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.055
56. Painted Spurfowl (Galloperdix lunulata)	NR	Р	NR		
57. Indian peafowl (Pavo cristatus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.950
Family: Turnicidae					
58. Barred Button Quail (<i>Turnix suscitator</i>)	Р	Р	NR	C/R/B	0.447
59. Yellow Legged Button Quail <i>(Turnix tanki)</i>	Р	NR	NR	C/R/B	0.167
Family: Gruidae		NR			
60. Demoisselle Crane (<i>Grus virgo</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Rallidae					
61. White-breasted Waterhen <i>(Amaurornis phoenicurus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.559
62. Slaty-Breasted Rail (<i>Gallirallus striatus</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
63. Common Coot (Fulica atra)	Р	NR	NR	C/R/B	
64. Purple Swamphen (Porphyrio porphyrio)	Р	NR	NR	C/R/B	
65. Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Jacanidae					
66. Pheasent-tailed Jacana <i>(Hydrophasianus chirurgus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	
67. Bronze-winged Jacana (Metopidius indicus)	Р	NR	NR	C/R	
Family: Charadriidae					
68. Red-Wattled Lapwing (Vanellus indicus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.342
69. Yellow-wattled Lapwing (Vanellus malabaricus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.111
70. Little Ringed plover (Charadrius dubius)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Scolopacidae					
71. Common Sandpiper (Actitis hypoleucos)	Р	NR	Р	C/R	0.335
72. Wood sandpiper (<i>Tringa glareola</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
73. Green Sandpiper (<i>Tringa ochropus</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Recurvirostridae					
74. Black-winged Stilt (Himantopus himantopus)	NR	NR	Р		
75. Pintail Snipe (Gallinago stenura)	NR	NR	Р		

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
76. Eurasian Woodcock (Scolopax rusticola)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Burhinidae					
77. Eurasian Thick-Knee (Burhinus oedicnemus)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Laridae					
78. River Tern <i>(Sterna aurantia)</i>	Р	Р	NR	R	0.657
Family: Pteroclididae					
79. Painted sandgrouse (Pterocles indicius)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Columbidae					
80. Yellow-footed Green pigeon (<i>Treron phoenicoptera</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.615
81. Nilgiri Wood Pigeon (<i>Columba elphinstonii</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
82. Mountain Imperial Pigeon (Ducula badia)	NR	NR	Р		
83. Pompadour Green Pigeon (Treron pompadora)	NR	NR	Р		
84. Rock Pigeon (Columba livia)	Р		Р	C/R/B	
85. Oriental Turtle Dove (Streptopelia orientalis)	NR	Р	NR		
86. Eurasian Collared Dove (Streptopelia decaocto)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	3.075
87. Spotted Dove (Streptopelia chinensis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	10.624
88. Laughing Dove (Streptopelia senegalensis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.585
Family: Psittacidae					
89. Plump headed parakeet (<i>Psittacula cyanocephala</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	2.684
90. Rose-ringed Parakeet (Psittacula krameri)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	3.970
91. Malabar Parakeet (Pittacula columboides)	NR	NR	Р		
92. Vernal Hanging Parrot (Loriculus vernalis)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Cuculidae					
93. Pied Cuckoo (Clamtor jacobinus)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	
94. Common hawk cuckoo (<i>Hierococcyx varius</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.447
95. Indian Cuckoo <i>(Cuculus micropterus)</i>	Р	NR	Р	C/R/B	
96. Grey-Bellied Cuckoo (Cacomantis passerinus)	NR	Р	NR		
97. Asian Koel (Eudynamys scolopacea)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.671
98. Greater Coucal (Centropus sinensis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.565
99. Lesser Coucal (Centropus bengalensis)	NR	NR	Р		
100. Blue-faced Malkoha (<i>Phaenicophaeus viridirostris</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.335
101. Green-Billed Malkoha (<i>Phaenicophaeus viridirostris</i>)	NR	NR	Р		

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
102. Sirkeer malkoha (Phaenicophaeus leschenaultia)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.055
Family: Strigidae					
103. Barn Owl <i>(Tyto alba)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	
104. Collared Scops Owl (Otus bakkamoena)	Р	Р	NR	R	
105. Orential Scops Owl (<i>Otus sunia</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
106. Spotted Owlet (Athene brama)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.223
107. Jungle Owlet (Glaucidium radiatum)	NR	Р	Р		
108. Eurasian Eagle Owl (<i>Bubo bubo)</i>	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.055
109. Brown fish owl (<i>Bubo zeylonensis</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	
110. Mottled Wood Owl (Strix ocellata)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Caprimulgidae					
111. Indian Nightjar (Carimulgus asiaticus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.223
112. Grey Nightjar (Caprimulgus indicus)	NR	Р	Р		
113. Savanna Nightjar (<i>Caprimulgus affinis</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
114. Jerdon's Nightjar (Caprimulgus atripennis)	NR	NR	Р		
115. Large-Tailed Nightjar (Caprimulgus macrurus)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Apodidae					
116. House Swift (Apus affinis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	1.453
117. Asian Palm Swift <i>(Cypsiurus balasiensis)</i>	Р	Р	NR	C/R	
118. Alpine Swift (Tachymarptis melba)	NR	Р	Р		
119. Indian Swiftlet (Collocalia unicolor)	NR	NR	Р		
120. Crested Tree Swift (Hemiprocne coronata)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Alcedinidae					
121. Common Kingfisher (Alcedo atthis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.167
122. White-Throated Kingfisher (Halcyon smyrnensis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.062
123. Pied Kingfisher (Ceryle rudis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.111
Family: Meropidae					
124. Blue-bearded Bee-eater (Nyctiornis athertoni)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.726
125. Green Bee-eater (Merops orientalis)	Р	Р	Р	C/LM	10.512
126. Blue-tailed Bee-eater (Merops philippinus)	Р	NR	NR	C/LM	0.391
127. Chestnut-Headed Bee-Eater (<i>Merops leschenaulti</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Coraciidae					
128. Indian Roller (Coracias benghalensis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.167

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
Family: Upupidae					
129. Common Hoopoe (Upupa epops)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.167
Family: Bucerotidae					
130. Indian Grey Hornbill (Ocyceros birostris)	Р	NR	Р	C/R/B	0.447
Family: Capitonidae					
131. Brown-headed Barbet (Megalaima zeylanica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.677
132. White Cheeked Barbet (Megalaima viridis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.342
134. Coppersmith Barbet (Megalaima haemacephala)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	3.0195
Family: Picidae					
135. Rufus Woodpecker (Micropternus brachyurus)	Р	Р	Р	r/R/B	
136. Brown-Capped Pigmy Woodpecker (<i>Picoides nanus</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
137. Heart-spotted Woodpecker (<i>Hemicircus canente</i>)	Р	NR	NR	r/R	
138. Yellow crowned woodpecker (<i>Dendrocopos mahrattensis</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.223
139. Black-Rumped Flameback <i>(Dinopium benghalense)</i>	Р	Р	NR	C/R/B	0.391
140. White-Naped Woodpecker (<i>Chrysocolaptes festivus</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
141. Streak -Throated Woodpecker (<i>Picus xanthopygeus</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
142. Common Flameback (Dinopium javanense)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Pittidae					
143. Indian Pitta (Pitta brachyuran)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	
Family: Alaudidae					
144. Singing Bush lark (Mirafracantillans)	Р	NR	NR	C/R	0.279
145. Indian Bush Lark (Mirafra erythroptera)	Р	NR	NR	C/R	
146. Ashy-crowned Sparrow Lark <i>(Eremopetrix grisea)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.111
147. Rufous-winged lark (Mirafra assamica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	
148. Rufous-tailed Lark <i>(Ammomanes phoenicurus)</i>	Р	Р	NR	C/R	0.223
Family: Hirundinidae					
149. Wire-tailed Swallow (Hirundo smithii)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.167
150. Red-rumped Swallow (Hirundo daurica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	3.410
151. Barn Swallow (<i>Hirundo rustica</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
152. Dusky crag martin (<i>Hirundo concolor</i>)	Р	Р	NR	C/R	

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
Family: Laniidae					
153. Baybacked Shrike <i>(Lanius vittatus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.453
154. Long-tailed Shrike (Lanius schach)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.559
155. Brown Shrike <i>(Lanius cristatus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	
Family: Oriolidae					
156. Eurasian Golden Oriole <i>(Oriolus oriolus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/LM	0.167
157. Black-hooded Orile (Oriolus xanthornus)	Р	Р	Р	C/LM	0.279
158. Black-Naped Orile (Oriolus chinensis)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Dicruridae					
159. Black Drongo (Dicrurus macrocercus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	4.026
160. Ashy Drongo (Dicrurus leucophaeus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.671
161. White-bellied Drongo (Dicrurus caerulescens)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	4.641
162. Spangled Drongo (Dicrurus hottentottus)	Р	Р	NR	r/R	0.055
163. Bronzed Drongo (Dicrurus aeneus)	NR	NR	Р		
164. Greater Racket tailed Drongo (<i>Dicrurus paradiseus</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Artamidae					
165. Ashy Woodswallow (Artamus fuscus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.391
Family: Sturnidae					
166. Chestnut-Tailed Starling (Sturnus malabaricus)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	
167. Brahminy Starling (Sturnus pagodarum)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	2.013
168. Rosy Starling <i>(Sturnus roseus)</i>	Р	NR	Р	C/LM	0.894
169. Common Myna <i>(Acridotheres tristis)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.417
170. Jungle Myna <i>(Acridotheres fuscus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.180
171. Hill Myna (<i>Gracula religiosa</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Corvidae					
172. House Crow (Corvus splendens)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.397
173. Large-Billed Crow (Corvus macrorhynchos)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.976
174. Rufous treepie (Dendrocitta vagabunda)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.684
Family: Campephagidae					
175. Large Wood Shrike (Tephrodornis gularis)	NR	NR	Р		
176. Common Woodshrike <i>(Tephrodornis pondicerianus)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	
177. Large Cuckoo-Shrike (<i>Coracina novaehollandiae</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.111

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
178. Black Headed Cuckooshrike <i>(Coracina melanoptera)</i>	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.223
179. Small Minivet (Pericrocotus cinnamomeus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.236
180. White-bellied Minivet (<i>Pericrocotus</i> erythropygius)	NR	NR	Р		
181. Scarlet Minivet (Pericrocotus cinnamomeus)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.111
Family: Irenidae					
182. Common Iora (Aegithina tiphia)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.230
183. Marshall's Iora (Aegithina nigrolutea)	Р	NR	NR	R	0.223
184. Blue-winged Leafbird <i>(Chloropsis cochinchinensis)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.391
185. Gold-fronted leafbird (Chloropsis auriforms)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.447
Family: Pycnonotidae					
186. Red-whiskered Bulbul (Pycnonotus jocosus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	12.972
187. Red-vented Bulbul (Pycnonotus cafer)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	23.149
188. White-browed Bulbul (<i>Pycnonotus lutelus</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.342
189. Yellow throated Bulbul (<i>Pycnonotus xantholaemus</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
190. Grey-headed Bulbul (<i>Pycnonotus priocephalus</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
191. Yellow-browed Bulbul (Lole indica)	NR	NR	Р		
192. Black Bulbul (Hypsipetes leucocephalus)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Muscicapinae Sub-Family: Timaliinae					
193. Puff-throated Babbler (<i>Pellorneum ruficeps</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	
194. Indian Scimitar Babbler (<i>Pomatorhinus horsfieldii</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.447
195. Jungle Babler (Turdoides striatus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	5.479
196. Yellow-eyed babbler (Chrysomma sinense)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.671
197. Twany- bellied babbler (Dumetia hyperythra)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	2.795
198. Common babbler (Turdoides caudatus)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	1.342
199. Large Grey Babbler (Turdoides malcolmí)	Р	Р	Р	C/R	1.621
200. Yellow-Billed Babbler (Turdoides affinis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	13.923
201. Dark-Fronted Babbler (<i>Rhopocichla atriceps</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
202. Brown-Cheeked Fulvetta (Alcippe poioicephala)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Muscicapinae					
203. Asian Brown flycatcher (Muscicapa dauurica)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.055
204. Brown-breasted Flycatcher (<i>Muscicapa muttui</i>)	NR	NR	Р		

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
205. Red-Throated Flycatcher (Ficedula parva)	NR	Р	Р		
206. Tickell's blue Flycatcher (Cyornis tickelliae)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	0.391
207. Grey-Headed Canary Flycatcher (<i>Culicicapa ceylonensis</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
208. Verditer Flycatcher (Muscicapa thalassina)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.055
209. Nilgiri Flycatcher (Eumyias albicaudata)	NR	Р	Р		
210. Black–napped Monarch (<i>Hypothymis azurea</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
211. Bar- Winged Flycatcher-Shrike (<i>Hemipus picatus</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
212. White Browed Fantail-Flycatcher (<i>Rhipidura aureola</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.062
213. White-Throated Fantail-Flycatcher <i>(Rhipidura albicollis)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.503
Family: Monarchinae					
214. Asian Paradise-Flycatcher <i>(Terpsiphone paradisi)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/LM/B	0.615
Family: Sylviinae					
215. Plain Prinia <i>(Prinia inornata)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.782
216. Ashy Prinia <i>(Prinia socialis)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.417
217. Zitting Cisticola (Cisticola juncidis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.279
218. Grey-breasted Prinia (Prinia hodgsonii)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	
219. Jungle Prinia (<i>Prinia sylvatica</i>)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	
220. Common Tailorbird <i>(Orthotomus sutorius)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.529
220. Blyth's Reed-Warbler <i>(Acrocephalus dumetorum)</i>	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.111
221. Clamorous Reed Warbler <i>(Acrocephalus stentoreus)</i>	NR	Р	Р		
223. Booted Wrabler <i>(Hippolis caligata)</i>	Р	Р	NR	r/LM	1.957
224. Lesser whitethroat (Sylvia curruca)	Р	Р	NR	r/LM	0.671
225. Greenish Warbler (Phylloscopus trochiloides)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	1.118
226. Orphean Warbler (Sylvia hortensis)	NR	Р	Р		
227. Western crowned Warbler (<i>Phylloscopus</i> occipitalis)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Turdinae					
228. Blue Throat (<i>Luscinia svecica</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
229. Indian Blue Robin (<i>Luscinia brunnea</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
230. Oriental Magpie-Robin (Copsychus saularis)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	4.417
231. White-Rumped Shama (Copsychus malabaricus)	NR	Р	NR		

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
232. Black Redstart (Phoenicurus phoenicurus)	NR	Р	NR		
234. Pied Bushchat (Saxicoloides caprata)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.397
235. Common stonechat (Saxicola torquata)	Р	NR	Р	C/R/B	0.559
236. Indian Robin (Saxicoloides fulicata)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	5.256
237. Blue-Capped Rock Thrush (<i>Monticola cinclorhynchus</i>)	NR	Р	Р		
238. Blue Rock Thrush (Monticola solitarius)	Р	Р	Р	r/LM	0.111
239. Orange-Headed Thrush (<i>Zoothera citrina cyantotus</i>)	Р	Р	Р	r/R	
240. Grey-Breasted Laughing Thrush (<i>Garrulax jerdoni</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
241. Malbar Whistiling Thrush (<i>Myiophonus horsfieldii</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
242. Eurasian Blackbird (Turdus merula)	NR	Р	Р		
Family: Paridae					
243. Great Tit <i>(Parus major)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	1.230
244. White-Naped Tit (Parus nuchalis)	NR	NR	Р		
245. Black-Lored Tit (Parus xanthogenys)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Sittidae					
246. Chestnut-Bellied Nuthatch (Sitta castanea)	Р	NR	Р	C/R	0.223
247. Velvet-fronted Nuthatch (Sitta frontalix)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Motacillidae					
248. Richard's Pipit (Anthus richardi)	NR	NR	Р		
249. Olive-Backed Pipit (Anthus hodgsoni)	NR	Р	Р		
250. Paddy Field Pipit (Anthus rufulus)	Р	NR	Р	C/R	
251. Yellow Wagtail <i>(Motacilla flava)</i>	Р	NR	Р	r/LM	0.111
252. Grey Wagtail (Motacilla cinerea)	Р	Р	Р	C/LM	0.111
253. White-Browed Wagtail <i>(Motacilla maderaspatensis)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R	0.559
254. Forest Wagtail (Dendronanthus indicus)	NR	NR	Р		
255. White Wagtail (<i>Motacilla alba</i>)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Dicaeidae					
256. Thickbilled Flowerpecker (Dicaeum agile)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	3.690
257. Pale-Billed Flowerpecker <i>(Dicaeum erythrohynchos)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	2.348
Family: Nectariniidae					
258. Loten's sunbird (Nectarinia lotenia)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.174

Species	2011	2006	ISZ	Status	Density
259. Purple-rumped Sunbird (Nectarinia zeylonica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	12.301
260. Purple Sunbird (Nectarinia asiatica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	8.723
261. Crimson-Backed Sunbird (Nectarinia minima)	NR	NR	Р		
Family: Zosteropidae					
262. Oriental White-eye (Zosterops palpebrosa)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.559
Family: Ploceinae					
263. House Sparrow (Paser domesticus)	Р	Р	Р		
264. Chestnut-Shouldered Petronia (<i>Petronia xanthocollis</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
265. Baya Weaver (Ploceus philippinus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	
266. Streaked weaver (<i>Plceus manyar</i>)	NR	Р	NR		
Family: Estrildidae					
267. Red Avadavat (Amandava amandava)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.559
268. Indian Silverbill (Lonchura malabarica)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.223
269. White-Rumped Munia <i>(Lonchura striata)</i>	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	
270. Scaly-Breasted Munia (Lonchura punctulata)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	1.006
271. Blackheaded Munia <i>(Lonchura malacca)</i>	Р	Р	NR	C/R/B	1.174
Family: Fringillidae					
272. Common Rosefinch (Carpodacus erythrinus)	Р	Р	Р	C/R/B	0.950
Total	178	202	222		

C: Common, r: Rare, R: Resident, LM: Local Migrant; P: Recorded, NR:Not Recorded



a. Grey Francolin., **b.** Blue-bearded Bee-eater., **c.** Jungle Babler., **d.** Redwhiskered Bulbul., **e.** Red-vented Bulbul., **f.** Peid Bushchat., **g.** Yellow-billed Babler., **h.** Yellow-crowned Woodpecker., **i.** Sirkeer Malkoha., **j.** Chestnut-tailed Starling., **k.** Spangled Drongo., **I.** Common Hawk Cuckoo.



a. Brown Fish Owl., b. Shikra., c. Short-toed Snake Eagle., d. Oriental Honey-buzzard.

Chapter 4 Occurrence and abundance of large mammals in BNP

Introduction

Among all the taxonomic group of organisms, mammals are the most susceptible to anthropogenic activities like hunting. Being large in size, they yield more meat, which attracts people. Besides this, loss of habitat has led to a reduction in the range of occupancy of most of the species. In developing countries like India, the rate of shrinkage of forest is more drastic due to overshooting human population. Though the protected area (PA) network in India is very well established, the basic mission of creation of PA network has been suffering due to various sociopolitical reasons. Conversely, in India, management of protected area is not based on scientific reasons. Many of the protected areas may not even have baseline data on the important taxonomic groups. Further, monitoring of these populations does not take place, which makes it more difficult to evaluate the conservation effort and functionality of each protected area.

Bannerghatta National Park being close to the city, faces various pressures. Due to expansion of the city, part of the park has been surrounded by developmental activities and construction of buildings, presence of many quarries and sand mining making the situation more vulnerable at the buffer regions of the park. The narrow park has got little chance for easy movement of animals, hence the rate of movement of animals outside the boundary has increased and led to human-animal conflict, especially in the case of elephants. Further, high human density along the fringes of the park and their dependence on forest for firewood and grazing for their livestock has made the park more fragile. Nevertheless, many species of mammals are known to occur in the park. In the present chapter we report our findings on occurrence and abundance of large mammals in the park.

Methods

Common methods followed are discussed in the first chapter. In each grid, 6 m X 20 m plots were laid on the transect line at intervals of 200 m. Droppings of the animal were counted in these plots with species identity.

Since the mammal abundance in the park is poor, we have used the abundance of droppings of each species as proxy for the abundance of species in each grid. The abundance of droppings was represented as droppings per hectare.

Some of the species which are strictly nocturnal, could not be assessed for their abundance during the day transect walks, hence we conducted night surveys to find the occurrence and abundance of such species. We selected the existing motorable roads in the sanctuary and each road was travelled three consecutive nights between 19.00 to 24.00 hrs. During this exercise the jeep was driven at a speed of 10 km/hr, and an observer sitting atop the jeep used a flash light connected to the jeep to sight nocturnal animals. Once the animal was spotted by an eye shine, animals were differentiated based on the colour of the reflection from eyes, distance between the eyes and size; if the animal was far from the observer then a binocular was used to identify the species. The distance covered was documented using the vehicle odometer or known distance of each route. The abundance of nocturnal animals was presented as encounter rate (animals/km).

Results

Occurrence: Considering the nominal distribution of a species, we expected 33 species of mammals from the park, however we were able to get evidence for the occurrence of 20 species (Table 4.1). Among diurnal primates Hanuman langur Semnopithecus priam is absent and only bonnet macaque Macaca radiata was found in the Bannerghatta range. The nocturnal primate slender loris Loris lydekkerianus was also recorded from the Bannerghatta range. Two other arboreal mammals, Malabar giant squirrel Ratufa indica and large brown flying squirrels *Petaurista petaurista* were absent from the park. Though we did not see a single species of large carnivore, secondary evidences shows the presence of leopard Panthera pardus, sloth bear Melursus ursinus and jackal Canis aureus, and also the occasional visit of dholes Cuon alpinus was reported. We were unable to get proper evidence for the occurrence of tiger Panthera tigris and wolf Canis lupus in the park. Among small carnivores, common mongoose Herpestes edwardsi, small Indian civet Viverricula indica and Asian palm civet Paradoxurus hermophroditus were recorded from many parts of the park. The fox Vulpes bengalensis was reported to occur only in marginal areas of the park. Chital Axis axis,
Table 4.1 Occurrence of large mammals in different forestrange of Bannerghatta National Park

	IUCN	Forest Ranges			
Species	status	Bannerghatta	Harohalli	Anekal	
Hanuman langur (Semnopithecus priam)	LC	AB	AB	AB	
Bonnet macaque (<i>Macaca radiata</i>)	LC	P(1)	?	?	
Slender loris (Loris lydekkerianus)	LC	P(1)	?	?	
Tiger (Panthera tigris)	EN	?	?	?	
Leopard (P. pardus)	NT	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Jungle cat (<i>Felis chaus</i>)	LC	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Leopard cat (Prionalilurus bengalensis)	LC	?	?	?	
Rusty spotted cat (Prionalilurus rubiginosus)	VU	?	?	?	
Indian gray wolf (Canis lupus pallipes)	LC	AB	AB	AB	
Dholes (Cuon alpinus)	EN	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Golden jackal (<i>Canis aureus</i>)	LC	P(3)	P(3)	P(3)	
Bengal fox (Vulpes bengalensis)	LC	P(3)	P(3)	P(3)	
Small Indian civet (Viverricula indica)	LC	P(1)	P(2)	P(1)	
Asian palm civet (Paradoxurus	IC	P(1)		P(1)	
hermophroditus)	LC	r(1)	F(2)		
Stripe-necked mongoose (Herpestes	IC	2	2	2	
vitticollis)	LC	•	•	•	
Common mongoose (H. edwardsi)	LC	P(1)	Р	Р	
Ruddy mongoose (<i>H. smithii</i>)	LC	?	?	?	
Malabar giant squirrel (Ratufa indica)	NT	AB	AB	AB	
Common Giant flying squirrel (<i>Petaurista petaurista</i>)	LC	AB	AB	AB	
Southern Red Muntjac (Muntiacus muntjac)	LC	P(1)	P(2)	P(2)	
White spotted Chevrotain (<i>Tragulus meminna</i>)	LC	?	?	?	
Indian wild pig (Sus scrofa)	LC	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Chital (Axis axis)	LC	P(1)	P(1)	P(1)	
Sambar (Cervus unicolor)	VU	P(1)	P(1)	P(1)	
Gaur (Bos gaurus)	VU	P(1)	P(2)	P(2)	
Elephant (<i>Elephas maximus</i>)	EN	P(1)	P(1)	P(1)	
Four-horned antelope (Tetracerus	VII	D(a)			
quadricornis)	VU	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Blackbuck (Antilope cervicarpa)	NT	AB	AB	AB	
Indian crested Porcupine (Hystrix indica)	LC	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Thick-tailed Pangolin (Manis crassicaudata)	NT	?	?	?	
Sloth bear (Melursus ursinus)	VU	P(2)	P(2)	P(2)	
Striped hyena (Hyaena hyaena)	NT	?	?	?	
Black-naped hare (Lepus nigricollis)	LC	P (1)	P(1)	P(1)	

P: Present; ?: No Information; AB: May be absent; EN: Endangered; VU: Vulnerable; NT: Near threatened; LC: Least concern; DD: Data deficient; 1: Sighted; 2: Fecal deposit; 3: Local information;

Table 4.2 Sightings of animals during the night survey(Km covered: Bannerghatta = 66 km; Harohalli = 72; Anekal = 75)

Species	Bannerghatta	Harohalli	Anekal	Total
Slender loris	4 (0.06)	0	1(0.01)	5 (0.02)
Asian palm civet	6 (0.09)	0	0	6 (0.028)
Small Indian Civet	2 (0.03)	0	0	2 (0.009)

Table 4.3 Droppings per hectare in different sampling grids

Grid No.	Elephant	Gaur	Sambar	Chital	Muntjac	S bear	Wild pig	Porcupin e
0	55.83	9.16	0	65.00	0	0	0	0
1	35.00	0	0	20.83	0	14.16	0	0
10	31.66	0	10.83	115.00	0	0	0	0
11	125.00	0	31.66	31.66	0	10	51.66	0
13	16.66	16.66	8.33	25.00	8.33	0	0	8.33
19	35.83	0	0	35.83	0	0	0	0
2	33.33	0	0	108.33	0	33.33	0	25.00
22	108.33	16.66	16.66	0	0	0	100.00	0
23	61.66	21.66	4.16	4.16	9.16	17.5	0	4.16
24	55.83	27.5	110.83	0	0	0	27.50	0
31	57.50	0	0	31.66	0	25.83	95.83	0
33	11.66	0	15.83	63.33	0	8.33	4.16	0
34	71.66	59.16	0	11.66	0	0	0	0
36	83.33	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
37	44.16	10.83	16.66	10.83	5.83	0	10.83	16.66
38	155.00	0	35.83	47.50	0	0	11.66	0
43	93.33	20.83	20.83	41.66	10	5.00	15.83	5.00
44	113.33	68.33	53.33	45.83	0	8.33	15.00	0
45	166.66	0	0	133.33	16.66	0	16.66	83.33
6	66.66	16.66	0	133.33	0	0	16.66	0
7	62.50	0	0	55.83	0	0	14.16	0
8	48.33	0	0	69.16	6.66	0	0	0
9	75.83	0	0	0	0	30.00	0	0
Mean	69.96	11.63	14.56	45.65	2.46	6.63	16.52	2.19
X2	526.45	135.57	343.50	526.00	8.158	51.66	379.66	192.14
Sig.	.00	.00	.00	.00	NS	.00	.00	.00

sambar *Cervus unicolor*, gaur *Bos gaurus*, four-horned antelope *Tetracerus quadricornis* and elephants were recorded from all the forest ranges.

Abundance of nocturnal mammals from night survey: A total of 213 km of night survey was carried out in the park. During this we sighted slender loris, Asian palm civet and small Indian civet, apart from chital, sambar, gaur and elephant. Surprisingly we had very low encounters of all the species. We had five sightings of slender loris, six sightings of Asian palm civet and two sightings of small Indian civets, and most of the sightings were from Bannerghatta forest range. The overall encounter rate was 0.02, 0.028 and 0.009 for slender loris, Asian palm civet and small Indian civet respectively (Table 4.2).

The density of droppings for all the species in each grid is provided in Table 4.3. Since the animals live in low abundance in the park, we considered droppings of all the possible species which we were able to identify and count. Mean density of droppings for elephant (69.96) and chital (45.65) was more than that of the other animals. Further, non parametric chi-square test shows that density of droppings of all the species varied significantly between grids (Table 4.3) except for the muntjac *Muntiacus muntjac*.

Though the density of droppings significantly varied between grids, none of the covariates showed any significant relation for elephant, gaur, sambar, wild boar *Sus scrofa* and porcupine *Hystrix indica*. The density of droppings of the sloth bear was significantly related to the basal area. Increase in livestock seems to have positive influence on the increase in use of the area by chitals.

Discussion

Bannerghatta National Park being very close to the city faces many anthropogenic pressures like movement of people, grazing pressure and firewood collection in the entire park. Nevertheless, large number of mammal species persists, this shows the conservation effort that has been taken by the forest department. However, all the mammal species live in very low density in the park.

Grid No.	Tree Density	Basal Area	Stumps/ lopping	Livestoc k dung density	Mean NDVI
0	557.71	49.46	0	46.29	0.036481099
1	656.00	66.91	104.16	201.38	0.096553695
10	611.20	26.91	0	0	0.179754871
11	467.20	48.29	31.25	83.33	0.125861932
13	617.14	32.18	383.33	50.00	0.24768177
19	704.00	54.37	130.95	59.52	0.18074518
2	566.66	69.12	183.33	150.00	0.130313731
22	574.40	52.46	0	8.33	0.185032387
23	585.60	53.22	39.47	35.08	0.21186912
24	672.00	46.50	34.72	6.94	0.188507472
31	580.00	56.96	64.10	57.69	0.17654732
33	510.00	41.44	230.15	99.20	0.101057711
34	430.00	44.24	59.52	47.61	0.161276033
36	442.66	39.84	208.33	218.75	0.139135101
37	624.00	28.53	55.55	22.22	0.165628462
38	582.40	19.01	83.33	130.95	0.122310609
43	488.00	47.96	93.75	41.66	0.192242309
44	508.00	32.79	60.60	106.06	0.217107866
45	595.20	28.19	266.66	166.66	0.233431885
6	550.85	52.78	308.33	741.66	0.190707965
7	644.57	38.79	48.61	173.61	0.239022743
8	672.00	45.37	388.88	145.83	0.149799168
9	486.40	55.73	0	68.18	0.175647938

Table 4.4 Parameters that we considered influencing theutilization of habitat by different species of mammals

Table 4.5 Test value (Person correlation- r²) for each covariate

Species	Tree density	Basal area	Stumps/ lopping	Livestoc k dung density	Mean NDVI
Elephant	330	335	176	.065	.148
Gaur	326	123	174	081	.286
Sambar	.068	242	258	230	.091
Chital	.130	136	.404	.504*	.017
Muntjac	.141	282	.407	099	.451*
S bear	.194	.620**	187	083	119
Wild boar	041	.162	289	117	,125
Porcupine	.095	220	.300	.039	.280

*Significant at .05 ; **Significant at .01













Sightings and evidences of different mammal species in Bannerghatta National Park



















Density of droppings in different grids





Density of droppings in different grids











We expected species like slender loris to be in large numbers in the park since the species has less value for hunting due to its small body size, but we encountered it in low numbers. Almost all arboreal mammals including Hanuman langur. Malabar giant squirrel and large brown flying squirrel were totally absent from the park, except bonnet macaque, which was found only in one location. Usually these species are relatively habitat generalists thus we expected them in at least riparian forests. Further, during our entire study, all the chital herds sighted had herd size less than seven. This led us to suspect the existence of severe biotic pressure in the past. There are no other reasons for the absence, low abundance or small in herd size of some species. The forests of the park are contiguous with other forests in the south, visits of some species was expected e.g. dholes. The low prey density in the park cannot afford to have resident populations of all the large carnivore species thus the presence of few leopards and occasional visits of dholes in the park are acceptable. Only elephants were found in relatively large numbers, but even they show seasonal movement to the park (Anand et al., 2009).

Human interference was noticed in the entire Park, and narrow stretch of the forest with less altitudinal variation and vegetation type has made the park less heterogeneous for mammal species. Probably, this could be the reason that none of the forest parameters and disturbance factors influenced the habitat utilization by most species. Probably, patches with more grass were used by both livestock and chital, hence chital abundance was positively correlated with the area used by livestock. On the other hand, probably old forest with good trees was preferred by sloth bears.

Apart from firewood collection and other biotic pressures instigated by local people, there are many quarries all around the National park. These activities hamper the options for recolonisation for many species and therefore should be stopped to ensure that habitat is not affected. Wildlife management practices should be based on scientific ouputs for effective delivery.

Reference

Anand, V.D., Varma, S. and Gopalakrishna, S.P (2009). Conservation of Asian elephant through mitigation of human-elephant conflict in Bannerghatta National Park, Southern India. Technical Report II: 2009. A Rocha India, Bangalore.



a. Elephant herd., b. Guar.



a. Black-naped Hare., b. Leopard.



 $\textbf{a}. \, \text{Bonnet Macaque.}, \textbf{b}. \, \text{Sambar Deer}\,, \textbf{c}. \, \text{Common Mongoose.}$



Indirect evidences of mammals: a & b: Droppings of four horned antelope., c. Dropppings of Sloth Bear., d. Leopard scat.

Conclusions and Conservation measures

Bannerghatta National Park being close to one of the fast growing cities 'Bengaluru' faces numerous pressures. Being a linear patch of forest with negligible buffer zone it is exposed to high biotic disturbances. Probably this has led to less heterogeneity in the forests of the park. The major issues in and around the park are listed below.

Due to increased demand of sand and grey stones (Jelly stones) for construction purposes from the ever growing urban areas, the price of sand has gone up in multiple folds. This has led to exploitation of all available resources of sand in and around the Bengaluru city. Many illegal sand quarries in the name of 'filter sand' and grey stones (Jelly stones) extractions have mushrommed around the Bannerghatta National Park. This has increased the movement of people and heavy vehicles around the park, and has also created disturbance to the forest.

The park has low density of herbivore species, and in correspondence to this the large carnivore species are also poor in numbers. If the existing populations of herbivore species have to flourish in the park, appropriate actions needs to be initated to conserve the available natural food sources andzero tolerance to disturbance is inevitable. The existing severe grazing by livestock can deplete the availability of the fodder for these wild animals and further, can also transfer diseases to wild animals which can lead to local extinction of these species. Thus protecting and managing the park in anticipation of these problems are very crucial.

Few villages (Harohalli and Anekal forest ranges) and a few small private lands (Harohalli forest range) within the park eventually creates more problems and conflicts. Moreover, road access to these villages & houses are through the forested areas/forest roads. These constraints inside the National park will hinder further conservation and management activities.

Department personnel require further training to work effectively in the forest, especially the ground staff who are involved in management of the human-elephant conflict situations. They should be provided with additional accessories to chase the elephants away from the crop fields and also to protect themselves from life endangering situations.

Though the mammal richness and density is poor in the park, the density and species richness of trees and birds was good in the park, and is on par with the many other parts of Eastern Ghats. Provided with continuous protection the species richness and density of birds and trees can be retained that intern can help in reestablishing the mammals. Occasional visits of dholes and persistence of leopards in the park shows the potential of the region for conservation.

Among all the mammals, elephant is one of the important and dominant species in the Bannerghatta National Park. Anand et al. (2009) and Management Plan of the park clearly shows the existence of high rate of human-elephant conflict in the buffer areas of the park. Proper monitering of the elephant population, their movement, understating the crop pattern, human-elephant conflict and mitigation steps are very critical. Local people should be taken into cofidance for the mitigation activity. Anand et al. (2009) and Shivanandaiah (2010) recommended various measures to bringdown the human elephant conflict rate that can be adopted in the management practice of the park.

When urbanization is engulfing the land and forest, many, so called common birds also disappear as potential habitat is disappearing, thus retaining the common birds as common is also equally important. BNP holds good population of many such species, population of these should be monitored and protected.

We encourage periodical (at least once in three years) monitoring of trees, birds and mammals using the same protocol which will help understand the impact of steps taken to manage the park.



Anthropogenic activities in the national park: a. Forest gaurd removing wire snare from the NP., b. Stone quarry adjacent to the NP., c. Forest fire., d. Fire wood extraction.



a. Cattle grazing inside NP., b. Sand quarry (Filter sand) around the NP.

