

A RAPID ASSESSMENT OF BIODIVERSITY  
USING REMOTE SENSING/GIS TECHNIQUES  
IN MEHAO WILDLIFE SANCTUARY  
(Mishmi Hills) ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Final Report to the  
Ministry of Environment & Forests  
Government of India

S. Narendra Prasad  
Principal Investigator



December 1996  
Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History  
Kalampalayam COIMBATORE 641 010

## **CONTENTS**

## **PAGE NO.**

**Acknowledgements**

**Executive Summary**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 A framework for biodiversity assessment 2
- 1.2 Rationale and requirements for biodiversity assessment 3
- 1.3 Objective of the study 3

### **2.0 AN OVERVIEW OF BIODIVERSITY IN MEHAO WLS**

- 2.1.1 Physiography, relief and drainage 5
- 2.1.2 Geology Geomorphology Soils and Rain fall 6

### **3.0 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

- 3.1 Conservation significance of Arunachal Pradesh 8
- 3.2 Status of Biodiversity Conservation in Mehao Wild Life Sanctuary 11

### **4.0 AN OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY**

- 4.1.1 Field sampling 12
- 4.1.2 Sampling of vegetation 13
- 4.1.3 Sampling Bird species 14
- 4.1.4 Evidences of wild life 14
- 4.2 Remote Sensing 14
- 4.2.1 Visual and digital satellite data 15
- 4.2.2 A Flow Chart for Analysis 16
- 4.2.3 Geometric correction and masking 17
- 4.2.4 Image enhancements 17
- 4.2.5 Band ratioing 18
- 4.2.6 Unsupervised classification 20
- 4.2.7 Supervised Classification 21
- 4.2.8 Contextual Classification 22
- 4.3 Synthetic Aperture Rada (SAR) Data Simulation 22
- 4.4 Digital Terrain Models (DTM) 23
- 4.5 Terrain analysis 23

<b>5.0</b>	<b>GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) ANALYSIS</b>	
5.1	Multilayer raster modelling	24
5.2	Use of ancillary data/secondary information in raster modelling	25
5.3	Visualization	26
5.4	Landscape ecological analysis	27
5.5	Geostatistical analysis	29
5.6	Field Study Results	32
5.7	Floristics	33
5.8	Avian Diversity	38
5.9	Q Index	40
<b>6.0</b>	<b>REMOTE SENSING RESULTS</b>	
6.1	Geometric correction	43
6.2	Image enhancements	43
6.3	Multi-Image Manipulation	43
6.4	Unsupervised Classification	46
6.5	Supervised classification	46
6.6	Contextual classification	47
6.7	SAR simulation	47
6.8	Digital terrain model and terrain analyses	47
<b>7.0</b>	<b>INTEGRATION OF REMOTE SENSING, TERRAIN MODELS AND FIELD INFORMATION</b>	
7.1	GIS models	48
7.1.1	Multilayer raster modelling (MRM)	48
7.1.1.1	Hot spots of plant diversity	48
7.1.1.2	Localities prone to vegetation succession	48
7.1.1.3	Potential pheasant habitat	49
7.1.1.4	Potential Takin Habitat	49
7.2	Visualization in biodiversity research	50
<b>8.0</b>	<b>LANDSCAPE ECOLOGICAL PATTERNS : AN ANALYSIS OF DIGITAL IMAGERY</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>9.0</b>	<b>GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>10.0</b>	<b>CONSERVATION APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>52</b>
10.1	Designing an optimal sized nature reserve	52
10.2	Initiating and sustaining a biodiversity monitoring mechanism	53

10.3	A remote sensing\GIS agenda for assessment, mapping and monitoring of biodiversity in Arunachal Pradesh.	53
10.3.1	Inventory of Protected Areas and Gap Analysis	53
10.3.2	Identify Patterns of Communities and Species Richness	53
10.4	Data Integration and Visualization	54
10.5	Biodiversity Forecasting	54
	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	55
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	57
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A two year study during 1995-96 on a rapid assessment of biodiversity in Mehao Wild Life Sanctuary in eastern Arunachal Pradesh was conducted. The study made extensive use of modern spatial technologies of remote sensing and geographic information system.

An intensive quantitative field data on plant and bird fauna was obtained by sampling at altitudinal gradients of 200 m elevation. The altitude of the sampling stations in Mehao ranged from a low of 400 to a high of 2600 m. The sampling was done based on a preliminary visual interpretation of 1:50000 scale geocoded IRS-IB false color composites. As the number of vegetation classes was found to be three, sample strata were designated as low, mid and high altitudes of < 1000, 1000-2000, > 2000 - m respectively.

A total of 41 plots of 0.1 ha were sampled for vegetation and 48 plots of 1 ha for avian diversity. In all 29 tree species in 45 families were sampled. In contrast, 134 avian species were recorded. The sampled tree and avian diversity is around 50% of the recorded check lists of species.

The observed field patterns on species diversity indicate higher levels of species diversity at an altitude of 1600-1800 m and low levels at 400-800 m and 2200-2600 m altitudes. The observed avian diversity broadly matches with that of tree species diversity. There are no clear zonation in the distribution of species or species communities except in

the low altitude broad leaved forests and high altitude conifers. The avian diversity was found to be at its peak at 1600-1800 m altitude. However, altitudes below 1000 m harbour higher number of feeding guilds.

A habitat categorisation was done using LANDSAT TM digital data in bands 2, 3, 4 and 5. Standard enhancement, band combinations, principal component analysis and image ratioing was carried out. These operations enabled a better discrimination of habitat categories. Supervised and unsupervised classifications were done to obtain a total of 18 categories. These included shadows, vegetation types, various land use categories etc.

A high fidelity digital terrain model was developed for terrain analysis. The variables of slope, aspect along with elevation formed three important physical variables of interest in predicting hotspots of plant diversity, vegetation succession, pheasant and endangered mammal habitat. GIS overlay analysis was extensively used in predicting these four thematic features of relevance to biodiversity assessment and monitoring.

For the first time, use of ecological pattern analysis at landscape level was conducted on the classified digital images to gain an understanding on biodiversity issues of Mehao, in particular, and East Himalayas, in general. The issues relate to the patterns in richness, dominance, diversity, fragmentation, and related indices across the altitudinal gradient. The issues addressed also include whether these indices support the patterns observed in field sampling and whether these indices serve as surrogate measures to the

field measures. It is concluded that these indices have an important role to play in the assessment and monitoring of biodiversity.

A relatively new class and less used statistical tools that deal with spatial phenomena and regionalized variables viz., the geostatistical techniques were employed to decipher quantitative patterns observed in the landscape analyses. Variogram were constructed for the observed diversity patterns at low, mid and high altitudes. It is suggested tht results of variogram analyses be incorporated into new and as yet little developed and implemented methods of image classification for biodiversity related issues.

In order to monitor the changes in diversity, a simple but powerful index called Q index developed very recently was employed. It is shown that Q index tracks the species richness much better than the Shannon wiener Index H. It is also suggested that the new Q index be incorporated into the suite of ecological pattern analysis of classified digital images.

Finally, the report concludes with a suggestion on a remote sensing/GIS agenda for mapping, assessment and monitoring of biodiversity in Arunachal Pradesh.